

Emerging Technologies for Enhanced Industrial Efficiency: Frameworks and Strategies for Sustainable Manufacturing and Supply Chain Optimization - A Study on the Syrian Industry Context

Abedalrhmman K^{1*}, Alzaydi A²


DOI:10.31033/ABJAR/5.3.2026.117

^{1*} Kahtan Abedalrhmman, Kanzi Business Consultant, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia.

² Ammar Alzaydi, Department of Mechanical Engineering, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia.

Syria's industrial sector faces significant challenges in the contemporary global landscape, necessitating a strategic overhaul to enhance efficiency and sustainability across manufacturing and supply chain operations. This study aims to investigate the potential of emerging technologies in revolutionizing the Syrian industrial sector, focusing on sustainable manufacturing practices and supply chain optimization. By examining the current state of Syrian industries and identifying key areas for improvement, this research will propose frameworks and strategies for integrating advanced technologies to foster a more resilient, efficient, and environmentally conscious industrial ecosystem. The investigation will encompass a detailed analysis of various technologies, including but not limited to: advanced automation, data analytics, Internet of Things, and blockchain, assessing their applicability and impact within the Syrian industrial context, paving the way for a sustainable and competitive future. Furthermore, the research will explore how digitalization of supply chains, coupled with sustainable policies, can significantly enhance a company's sustainable impact across environmental, social, and economic pillars. This systematic review aims to synthesize current advancements in sustainable manufacturing strategies, considering the intersections of Industry 4.0, circular economy frameworks, and emerging biotechnologies to address identified research gaps. This approach will specifically consider the unique geopolitical and economic constraints prevalent in Syria, ensuring that proposed solutions are both technologically advanced and contextually viable. This will entail a comprehensive analysis of existing literature on sustainable manufacturing and supply chain management, particularly focusing on frameworks adaptable to developing economies. Additionally, the study will emphasize the redefinition of employee roles, including responsibilities and environmental sustainability, alongside exploring the integration of ethical supply chain practices and workplace safety. This includes evaluating how emerging technologies can mitigate the environmental impact of industrial processes and optimize resource utilization, aligning with principles of the circular economy. The research will also address the societal impact of technological adoption, including workforce training and reskilling initiatives necessary to navigate this industrial paradigm shift. Moreover, it will explore the integration of Industry 4.0 technologies with sustainable development goals to create a robust and environmentally conscious industrial framework.

Keywords: emerging technologies, industrial efficiency, sustainable manufacturing, supply chain optimisation, syrian industry

Corresponding Author	How to Cite this Article	To Browse
Kahtan Abedalrhmman, Kanzi Business Consultant, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia. Email: Kahtansalm@gmail.com	Abedalrhmman K, Alzaydi A, Emerging Technologies for Enhanced Industrial Efficiency: Frameworks and Strategies for Sustainable Manufacturing and Supply Chain Optimization - A Study on the Syrian Industry Context. Appl Sci Biotechnol J Adv Res. 2026;5(3):9-36. Available From https://abjar.vandanapublications.com/index.php/ojs/article/view/117	

Manuscript Received 2026-04-06	Review Round 1 2026-04-22	Review Round 2	Review Round 3	Accepted 2026-05-13
Conflict of Interest None	Funding Nil	Ethical Approval Yes	Plagiarism X-checker 4.86	Note



1. Introduction

The global industrial landscape is undergoing a profound transformation driven by rapid technological advancements and an increasing imperative for sustainable development (Polo et al., 2025). This paradigm shift, often termed the Fourth Industrial Revolution or Industry 4.0, emphasizes the integration of digital and physical technologies to create highly interconnected and intelligent industrial systems (Oláh et al., 2020). This transformation presents both unprecedented opportunities and complex challenges, particularly for developing economies like Syria, which seek to enhance industrial competitiveness while adhering to global sustainability mandates. This necessitates a comprehensive understanding of how emerging technologies can be leveraged to not only optimize industrial processes but also to foster environmental stewardship and economic resilience within the unique geopolitical and economic context of the Syrian industrial sector (Dahmani, 2024). This paper elucidates crucial strategies for integrating Industry 4.0 technologies and digitalizing supply chains to achieve sustainable impacts across environmental, social, and economic pillars (Stroumpoulis, Kopanaki and Chountalas, 2024). Specifically, the research will delve into how these technologies can redefine employee roles, ensuring workplace safety and ethical supply chain practices while also contributing to environmental sustainability (Ouanhlee, 2024). The integration of advanced digital technologies such as the Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, blockchain, and robotics is pivotal for achieving enhanced industrial efficiency and sustainability in manufacturing and supply chain operations (Ghahremani-Nahr, Aliahmadi and Nozari, 2022). These innovations are fundamental to improving product output, efficiency, and adaptability across various industries, necessitating a significant shift in existing methodologies and technologies (Moch, 2024) (Sousa et al., 2022). This paradigm shift towards digitalization and interconnectedness is not merely an incremental improvement but a fundamental re-imagining of industrial ecosystems, leading to the creation of smart factories and networked industrial environment). This evolution, unlike prior industrial revolutions focused on mechanization or electrification, emphasizes the convergence of information technology and manufacturing technology to establish highly automated and,

self-monitoring production systems (Chen and Kim, 2023) (Pimsakul, Samaranayake and Laosirihongthong, 2021). Central to this transformation are core technologies such as the Internet of Things, big data analytics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning, which collectively enable real-time monitoring, predictive maintenance, and autonomous decision-making within manufacturing environments (Wang and Jiao, 2024) (Moghrabi et al., 2023). This integration facilitates flexible production, remote manufacturing orders, and automated inspection, driving significant improvements in overall equipment effectiveness and resource efficiency (Yousif et al., 2024). However, while digitalization offers substantial benefits, it also introduces complex challenges concerning occupational health and safety, requiring careful consideration of new risk factors arising from transformed job roles and working conditions (Obasi and Benson, 2025). Therefore, effective strategies for workforce reskilling and the development of robust regulatory frameworks become imperative to ensure a just transition and maximize the societal benefits of these technological advancements. Furthermore, the integration of cutting-edge technologies like automation, data analytics, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things has profoundly reshaped traditional industrial processes, leading to improved efficiency, waste reduction, and enhanced product quality (Peng et al., 2023). This digital transformation, incorporating elements like Cloud Computing, vertical and horizontal integration, and advanced data communication, decentralizes supply chains and optimizes manufacturing through predictive analytics and big data, which forecast customer demands to minimize overproduction and underproduction (Moghrabi et al., 2023). These advancements collectively enable a more agile and responsive industrial sector, capable of adapting swiftly to market fluctuations and evolving consumer demands (Coraci and Abulrub, 2021). Moreover, this comprehensive digital integration fosters dynamic interactions and data exchanges that enhance visibility and strategic value creation beyond mere technological adoption (Franzè, Paolucci and Pessot, 2023). The proliferation of data, now recognized as a fundamental economic resource, further accentuates the necessity of digital transformation for manufacturing enterprises to enhance competitiveness and overall economic efficiency (Wang and Yang, 2025).

The successful implementation of such technologies relies heavily on robust industrial Internet platforms, which serve as crucial enablers for a holistic digitalization journey by integrating various advanced technologies into a comprehensive digital ecosystem (Liu et al., 2024).

2. Emerging Technologies in Revolutionizing the Industrial Sector

The strategic integration of these technologies promises to significantly enhance productivity, foster sustainable practices, and drive economic diversification within the unique operational landscape of Syrian industries (Tariq, 2025). This section will explore the specific applications and potential impacts of innovative manufacturing paradigms, including smart automation and digital transformation, within the Syrian context, aligning with global trends towards Industry 5.0 (Szeszák et al., 2025). This evolution entails a shift from mere technological adoption to a human-centric approach, emphasizing collaboration between humans and machines to create more resilient, sustainable, and inclusive industrial systems (Abedalrman, 2025). This transformation involves integrating cutting-edge digital technologies to optimize business operations, consumer engagement, and supply chain coordination (Abedalrman and Alzaydi, 2025), alongside fostering energy conservation, efficiency, and environmental sustainability (Moghrabi et al., 2023). This comprehensive digitalization facilitates the creation of a 'digital thread' that seamlessly connects all phases of a product's lifecycle, from design to end-of-life, enabling unparalleled data visibility and analytical capabilities (Abdel-Aty and Negri, 2024). Such integration allows for real-time monitoring and predictive analytics, which are critical for enhancing operational efficiency and making informed decisions across the manufacturing value chain (Maretto, Faccio and Battini, 2023). This enables a proactive approach to maintenance, quality control, and resource allocation, minimizing downtime and optimizing throughput (Abedalrman and Alzaydi, 2025). The adoption of these technologies also significantly enhances supply chain resilience and transparency, providing end-to-end visibility that mitigates risks and improves responsiveness to market changes (Abedalrman and Alzaydi, 2025).

This digital evolution represents a fundamental shift in manufacturing, moving beyond simple automation to create highly interconnected and intelligent systems capable of self-optimization and adaptive production (Abedalrman, 2025). Concurrently, the digitalization of manufacturing, facilitated by advancements in sensors, artificial intelligence, robotics, and networking technologies, is revolutionizing traditional manufacturing by reconceptualizing it as a service (Alzaydi and Abedalrman, 2025). This paradigm shift, often termed Manufacturing-as-a-Service, leverages interconnected digital platforms to offer on-demand production capabilities, thereby democratizing access to advanced manufacturing and reducing barriers to entry for smaller enterprises (Mahesh et al., 2020) (Wang and Jiao, 2024). Furthermore, the strategic implementation of advanced sensor technologies, essential for pervasive data collection across manufacturing processes, significantly enhances the capabilities of smart factories within the Industry 4.0 framework, improving performance and transparency (Kalsoom et al., 2020). This comprehensive data acquisition, combined with sophisticated analytical tools, allows for precise optimization of production workflows and predictive identification of potential system failures, further bolstering operational reliability and reducing waste (Zhang, Bian and Ju, 2025). Moreover, the application of big data analytics within these smart factory environments plays a pivotal role in augmenting productivity, elevating product quality, and ensuring the safety of processes, alongside bolstering the economic and environmental resilience of production systems (Kahveci et al., 2022). This integrated approach fosters significant improvements in production efficiency and product quality by making production processes smarter through digital management (Sui et al., 2023). This approach helps prevent unexpected failures and reduces unnecessary maintenance tasks (Abedalrman, 2025; Bányai and Tóth, 2025). The development of smart factories, enabled by high-speed connectivity and secure devices, utilizes artificial intelligence and machine learning to predict product and machine failures, thereby reducing costs and saving time (Jagatheesaperumal et al., 2021) (Abedalrman et al., 2025). These advancements also extend to intelligent diagnosis and monitoring systems, which leverage AI and extensive datasets to proactively detect faults and,

predict wear in machining operations, thereby minimizing downtime and enhancing overall equipment effectiveness (Abedalrhman and Alzaydi, 2024). The proliferation of industrial robots, smart sensors, and advanced machine learning algorithms further transforms these facilities into highly adaptable and reconfigurable production environments (Li et al., 2024; Alzaydi and Abedalrhman, 2025). This paradigm shift towards intelligent automation and data-driven decision-making represents a critical advancement in industrial operations, enabling unprecedented levels of efficiency and responsiveness (Rousopoulou et al., 2020) (Wahid, Breslin and Ali, 2022) (Anumbe, Saidy and Harik, 2022). This integration allows for the continuous monitoring of production lines, enabling real-time adjustments and predictive maintenance to avert disruptions before they occur (Abedalrhman, Alzaydi and Shibani, 2024). This self-optimization capability, combined with self-configuration and self-diagnosis, underpins the "smart factory" concept, leading to increased factory self-awareness and self-predictiveness (Abedalrhman, 2025). This intelligent system further enhances operational effectiveness by allowing machines to act autonomously based on continuously collected data, facilitating agile adaptation to dynamic production requirements and unforeseen events (Zenkert et al., 2021). Such advanced capabilities not only optimize resource allocation and minimize waste but also provide a framework for adaptive and flexible manufacturing processes that can rapidly respond to changing market conditions and customer demands (Pech, Vrchota and Bednář, 2021). This sophisticated integration of technologies aims to make industrial operations more productive, flexible, and efficient, aligning with the broader objectives of smart manufacturing which converges human, technological, and informational elements (Alzaydi et al., 2025). Within this context, artificial intelligence emerges as a transformative technology, enabling dynamic process management and optimization through real-time data analysis and predictive modeling (Bubeník et al., 2025). AI-driven systems, leveraging machine learning, deep learning, and reinforcement learning techniques, can analyze vast datasets to identify patterns, predict outcomes, and optimize complex, nonlinear manufacturing processes, significantly enhancing efficiency, quality, and sustainability (Abadi et al., 2024).

Furthermore, AI applications are pivotal in transforming quality control, defect detection, and predictive maintenance within manufacturing, enabling systems to exhibit self-awareness and self-optimization capacities (Wang et al., 2024) (Sundaram and Zeid, 2023). This facilitates a significant reduction in waste and defects while simultaneously increasing production yields and throughput (Xu et al., 2022). The integration of AI, especially in conjunction with the Internet of Things, forms the backbone of smart factories, enabling intelligent automation and advanced analytics that revolutionize various industrial sectors (Kim et al., 2021; Abedalrhman, 2025). Specifically, AI models are increasingly deployed to identify faulty components, detect defective products, and diagnose system issues, fundamentally transforming approaches to quality control and maintenance (Sundaram and Zeid, 2023; Alzaydi et al., 2024). AI-powered predictive maintenance, for instance, utilizes machine learning algorithms, IoT sensors, and real-time data analytics to monitor equipment performance and anticipate potential breakdowns, thereby minimizing unplanned downtime and reducing maintenance costs (Alzaydi, Abedalrhman and Nurhaliza, 2024; Patil, 2025). This proactive approach ensures sustained operational efficiency and extends the lifespan of critical machinery (Abedalrhman and Alzaydi, 2024).

3. Digitalisation of Supply Chains

The digitalisation of supply chains, particularly within the Syrian context, represents a critical evolution from traditional, often fragmented, logistics operations to an integrated, data-driven ecosystem capable of enhancing resilience and transparency. This paradigm shift involves leveraging advanced digital technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things to optimize every stage of the supply chain, from procurement to delivery. This transformation is essential for navigating the complexities of modern global trade, enabling real-time visibility, predictive analytics, and automated decision-making processes. This allows for a more agile response to disruptions, improved inventory management, and enhanced collaboration among supply chain partners (Aljohani, 2023) (Enyejo et al., 2024).

This evolution enables supply chains to integrate with unprecedented visibility and responsiveness, fundamentally transforming conventional models (Alquraish, 2025). The application of digitalization within supply chains specifically leverages AI to create synchronized networks that enable knowledge sharing and collaborative resource utilization, thereby addressing the complex and uncertain interactions inherent in modern logistics (Ghag et al., 2024). Moreover, the increasing complexity and vulnerability of global supply chains necessitate the adoption of AI-driven solutions to enhance resilience and mitigate risks effectively (Teixeira, Ferreira and Ramos, 2025). Artificial intelligence, through machine learning, predictive analytics, and the Internet of Things, enables precise risk prediction, operational optimization, and accelerated recovery from disruptions (Riad, Naïmi and Okar, 2024). This strategic integration of digital technologies and AI-driven methodologies transforms supply chains into adaptive, self-optimizing systems that are better equipped to handle unforeseen challenges and market fluctuations (Kazançoğlu et al., 2022). These digital advancements empower businesses to establish robust and flexible supply chain networks, ensuring business continuity and competitive advantage in an increasingly volatile global landscape (Enyejo et al., 2024) (Cordón, 2023). Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence into supply chain management is crucial for enhancing efficiency, agility, and responsiveness, particularly through predictive analytics and real-time visibility (Joel et al., 2024). The adoption of AI in supply chains offers significant opportunities to improve service delivery and operational capabilities, which are crucial for maintaining competitiveness in the rapidly evolving business landscape (ISMAEIL and Lalla, 2024). Specifically, AI-powered solutions facilitate sophisticated demand forecasting, inventory optimization, and route planning, leading to reduced operational costs and improved customer satisfaction (Goswami et al., 2024). The integration of AI also provides powerful optimization capabilities essential for more accurate capacity planning, improved productivity, and enhanced quality control within the supply chain (Alomar, 2022). This is especially pertinent in managing the complexities of contemporary supply chains, which are characterized by dynamic processes that necessitate advanced technological interventions to enhance their resilience and adaptability (Riahi et al., 2021).

AI's capacity to analyze vast datasets provides a clearer picture of the overall system, enabling smarter decisions and more attentive customer service (Mohsen, 2023). These algorithms, fueled by vast datasets, empower predictive analytics for demand forecasting, inventory optimization, and route planning, enhancing operational efficiency and enabling proactive responses to disruptions (Najmi, Iqbal and Khan, 2024). This integration of AI and digital technologies further enables robust risk identification, real-time monitoring, and optimized recovery strategies, which are critical for enhancing supply chain resilience (Riad, Naïmi and Okar, 2024). This technological synergy creates a more robust and responsive supply chain ecosystem, mitigating vulnerabilities and fostering sustained operational integrity. This approach allows for the intelligent monitoring of freight forwarding on a massive scale, anticipating shipping needs and providing a clearer picture of the overall supply chain system for smarter decision-making (Mohsen, 2023). This comprehensive digitalization, particularly through AI integration, allows for the precise calibration of inventory levels, reducing holding costs and minimizing stockouts by predicting demand fluctuations with greater accuracy (Kumar et al., 2024).

This enhanced foresight translates into optimized logistics and transportation, as AI can dynamically adjust routes and schedules to circumvent bottlenecks and minimize delivery times (Mohsen, 2024). This capability significantly improves demand forecasting and inventory management by leveraging machine learning algorithms to analyze historical data and identify patterns, leading to more accurate predictions and adjustments in stock levels (Kumar et al., 2024).

4. The Integration of Industry 4.0 Technologies with Sustainable Development Goals

The convergence of Industry 4.0 paradigms with sustainable development goals represents a pivotal shift towards environmentally conscious and resource-efficient manufacturing, transcending traditional operational boundaries to foster holistic ecological and economic benefits.

This synergy enables the development of smart factories that leverage advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things to minimize waste, optimize energy consumption, and reduce carbon footprints (Olawade et al., 2024). These technological integrations facilitate real-time monitoring and predictive maintenance, thereby enhancing operational longevity and reducing the need for new material inputs. Furthermore, the application of IoT, sensors, and data analytics at the network's edge can significantly enhance supply chain operations and promote circular economy principles for sustainability (Stroumpoulis, Kopanaki and Chountalas, 2024). This leads to the development of closed-loop systems where products and materials are recycled and reused, significantly decreasing environmental impact and fostering long-term economic viability (Ghahremani-Nahr, Aliahmadi and Nozari, 2022). Moreover, this integration ensures that technological advancements contribute directly to achieving global sustainability targets, aligning industrial practices with broader societal and environmental objectives (Oláh et al., 2020). Industry 4.0 technologies, such as the Internet of Things and big data analytics, are particularly effective in supporting sustainable financing within supply chains due to their ability to provide real-time insights and optimize resource allocation (Kannan and Gambetta, 2025). They enable enhanced traceability, reduce waste, and improve the efficiency of material flows, thereby underpinning more environmentally responsible and economically sound industrial practices (Tripathi et al., 2024) (Ramingwong et al., 2024). Specifically, the interconnectedness afforded by Industry 4.0 allows for continuous monitoring of resource consumption and emissions, enabling immediate corrective actions and fostering a culture of perpetual improvement towards sustainability targets (Pimsakul, Samaranayake and Laosirihongthong, 2021). This holistic approach to industrial evolution not only enhances business performance but also strategically positions enterprises for long-term resilience and compliance with increasingly stringent environmental regulations (Dahmani, 2024). This paradigm shift fosters a circular economy by facilitating the remanufacturing and repurposing of products, thus minimizing waste generation and maximizing resource utility (Cioffi et al., 2020).

The Internet of Things, for instance, provides a foundational layer for collecting granular data on energy consumption and waste generation in real-time, enabling precise optimization strategies that were previously unattainable (Malik, 2024). Moreover, these digital advancements promote transparency across the supply chain, enabling stakeholders to monitor environmental performance and adhere to global sustainability standards, thereby fostering a more accountable and eco-friendlier industrial ecosystem. This integration of Industry 4.0 within sustainable frameworks also encourages green innovation and fosters the development of eco-friendly products and processes, enhancing organizational capabilities for sustainable innovation (Ghobakhloo et al., 2021). Such capabilities lead to significant advancements in environmental and social performance, aligning economic objectives with ecological imperatives (Sohail and Amin Ul Haq, 2022). This paradigm shift towards green manufacturing, supported by advanced sensor technologies and digital interconnectedness, enables businesses to uncover significant internal and external benefits from an environmental and ecological perspective (Veile et al., 2021). The overarching objective of sustainable manufacturing is to restore planetary boundaries to safe levels and ensure the long-term viability of life on Earth (Polo et al., 2025). This necessitates a strategic integration of Industry 4.0 principles, such as cyber-physical systems and advanced data analytics, to optimize resource utilization and minimize ecological footprints throughout the product lifecycle (Feroz, Zo and Chiravuri, 2021) (Sartal et al., 2014). This comprehensive approach ensures that manufacturing processes are not only efficient and profitable but also environmentally responsible, promoting a circular economy model (Jensen and Remmen, 2017). Moreover, the concept of sustainable manufacturing, though interpreted diversely, consistently emphasizes positive ecological and economic impacts, often reinforced by Industry 4.0 initiatives through digital transformation (Sartal et al., 2014). This integration is further bolstered by digital transformation, which fosters innovation and sustainability as critical issues for future generations of smart manufacturing systems, directly addressing challenges like resource depletion and excessive waste production (Cioffi et al., 2020) (Moghrabi et al., 2023).

This holistic approach allows for the creation of added value in the industrial environment, generating new opportunities related to sustainable production development tailored to each company's characteristics. This approach inherently promotes the development of adaptive manufacturing systems that can respond dynamically to changing environmental conditions and market demands, ensuring long-term operational resilience (Dahmani, 2024). The core tenets of sustainable manufacturing, including minimizing environmental impact, conserving resources, and ensuring social responsibility, are increasingly being met through the strategic adoption of these emerging technologies (Sartal et al., 2014) (Garetti, Mummolo and Taisch, 2012). Such initiatives require a paradigm shift in industrial thinking, moving away from rigid, linear production models towards more adaptive and integrated systems that prioritize ecological harmony and resource efficiency (Alam et al., 2023). This involves a transition towards a circular economy, emphasizing resource efficiency and the regeneration of natural systems, which is critical for meeting present and future needs worldwide. Moreover, knowledge management processes, particularly those enhanced by digital tools, are crucial for minimizing manufacturing risks and fostering a transition to green technologies by facilitating the sharing of information regarding operational limitations and sustainable practices (Alam et al., 2023). This comprehensive integration of sustainable practices with advanced digital technologies ultimately drives the industrial sector towards a more resilient and environmentally conscious future, redefining efficiency beyond mere economic gains to encompass ecological stewardship and social equity.

5. The Societal Impact of Technological Adoption

The widespread integration of advanced industrial technologies, such as those associated with Industry 4.0, extends beyond economic benefits, profoundly influencing social structures, labor markets, and the overall quality of life within communities.

However, it is crucial to recognize that the social implications of Industry 4.0, particularly concerning sustainable manufacturing practices, are complex and subject to ongoing debate within the research community, with some studies highlighting inconsistency in the interpretation of its true societal

impact (Sartal et al., 2014). This divergence in interpretation often arises from varied methodological approaches and the inherent difficulty in quantifying the long-term, multi-dimensional impacts of technological shifts on diverse populations (Sartal et al., 2014). This necessitates a nuanced examination of how these technologies can be leveraged to foster inclusive growth and equitable access to the benefits of sustainable industrialization, especially in regions facing socio-economic disparities. Moreover, the integration of advanced manufacturing technologies, especially within a sustainable framework, demands a comprehensive understanding of their socio-economic indicators to ensure holistic benefits that extend beyond environmental improvements to include economic prosperity and social equity (Gani, Asjad and Talib, 2023). This includes evaluating how these technologies can contribute to job creation, skills development, and improved working conditions, while mitigating potential negative impacts such as job displacement or increased inequality (Kazakova and Lee, 2022). Furthermore, achieving sustainable manufacturing necessitates an interdisciplinary approach that considers not only technological advancements but also the socio-technical systems, consumer behaviors, and geopolitical factors influencing its broad adoption (Früchtl, Leis and Wertheim, 2020). This approach would ensure that the implementation of new technologies aligns with global sustainability goals, fostering a more resilient and equitable industrial landscape (Polo et al., 2025) (Hoosain, Paul and Ramakrishna, 2020). Specifically, the adoption of advanced manufacturing techniques like additive manufacturing, while promising higher efficiency and resource optimization, also entails significant investments and potential shifts in material consumption patterns and workforce dynamics (Ribeiro et al., 2020). This highlights the imperative for robust policy frameworks and educational initiatives to prepare the workforce for evolving industrial demands and to ensure that the benefits of technological progress are widely distributed, rather than exacerbating existing societal divides. This transition also requires an adaptive regulatory environment that encourages innovation while safeguarding environmental and labor standards, thereby preventing a "race to the bottom" in terms of sustainability. Such policies must therefore be crafted to incentivize sustainable practices, including the adoption of new materials and manufacturing processes,

which necessitate novel analytical models for process control and parameter optimization (Jin et al., 2017). Furthermore, the successful integration of advanced manufacturing for sustainability requires a systematic approach encompassing the entire product lifecycle, from concept development and design to delivery and reverse logistics, optimizing processes and resource utilization (Jin et al., 2017). This comprehensive view ensures that sustainability is not merely an add-on but an intrinsic component of industrial strategy, fostering long-term resilience and competitive advantage.

This strategic integration demands a re-evaluation of traditional manufacturing paradigms, shifting towards models that inherently incorporate ecological considerations and circular economy principles from the outset (Garetti and Taisch, 2011). This paradigm shift necessitates significant investment in research and development to create novel materials and manufacturing processes that minimize environmental impact while maximizing resource efficiency (Garetti and Taisch, 2011). Moreover, the synergistic application of artificial intelligence and machine learning within these advanced frameworks can further optimize resource management, minimize waste, and enhance energy efficiency, thereby accelerating the transition towards sustainable industrial practices (Kumar and Shahin, 2025). This includes a critical examination of the shift from traditional linear production models to more regenerative, circular systems that prioritize resource recovery and waste reduction (Ari and Yikmaz, 2019).

6. Research Methodology

This study employs a systematic literature review approach to comprehensively synthesize existing research on sustainable manufacturing and supply chain optimization, thereby establishing a robust theoretical foundation for subsequent empirical investigations (Setyadi et al., 2025). This method facilitates the identification of critical research gaps and the formulation of precise research questions, ensuring a focused and relevant inquiry into the Syrian industrial context (Krimi, Bahou and Al-Aomar, 2024). This systematic approach integrates diverse disciplinary perspectives, including industrial engineering, environmental science, and economics, to provide a multifaceted understanding of sustainable supply chains (Jaouhari et al., 2023).

Specifically, it leverages the PRISMA method to ensure a rigorous and transparent review process, enhancing the reliability and validity of the synthesized findings on green logistics and sustainable development (Skhairi and Abouzaid, 2024). This comprehensive review encompasses academic papers, reports, and policy documents, with a particular focus on innovative approaches to sustainable supply chain management in the manufacturing sector (Muhammad et al., 2023; "INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN THE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW," 2024). The literature search will span multiple databases and include peer-reviewed articles, industry reports, and case studies published within the last two decades, reflecting the evolving landscape of sustainable industrial practices ("INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN THE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW," 2024). The synthesized findings will then inform the development of a conceptual framework tailored to the unique socio-economic and political challenges prevalent in the Syrian industrial sector (Shekarian et al., 2022; Skhairi and Abouzaid, 2024). This framework will incorporate elements of Industry 5.0 to address sustainability within manufacturing supply chains, even though comprehensive frameworks specific to this domain are largely absent in current literature (Dacre et al., 2024). However, extant research does indicate a growing imperative to integrate advanced technological solutions, such as those associated with Industry 4.0, with circular economy principles to achieve net-zero objectives within sustainable supply chains (Jaouhari et al., 2024). This necessitates a thorough examination of existing literature to ascertain the extent to which these advanced technological solutions, particularly those associated with Industry 5.0, have been integrated with circular supply chain practices for sustainable manufacturing (Sami et al., 2023; Dacre et al., 2024). This systematic review aims to address these gaps by meticulously analyzing the existing body of knowledge to identify opportunities for leveraging emerging technologies in enhancing sustainable manufacturing practices within challenging geopolitical environments (Ahamed, Rahim and Ahmad, 2022; Nguyen et al., 2023; Dacre et al., 2024; Challouf, Alhloul and Németh, 2025).

Furthermore, the study will construct a novel conceptual framework for Supply Chain 5.0, addressing its constructs as applied to future supply chains in the context of resilience, sustainability, and human-centricity (Villar, Paladini and Buckley, 2023). This framework will serve as a foundational tool for developing actionable strategies to enhance industrial efficiency and promote sustainable development in the Syrian context, while accounting for the unique challenges of post-conflict reconstruction and resource scarcity. Such an examination is critical for identifying and addressing the dynamic challenges within supply chain management, particularly given the limited perspectives on Industry 5.0's role in advancing supply chain management across various organizational and operational dimensions (Dacre et al., 2024). Building on this, the research will explore how technological constituents of Industry 5.0, such as advanced automation and human-AI collaboration, can contribute to economic, environmental, and social sustainability at both firm and industrial levels within the Syrian context (Ghobakhloo et al., 2024). This will involve an assessment of how Industry 5.0 supply chains can influence energy consumption and emissions reduction while also supporting employee interests and improving product efficiency, which is a growing area of focus for researchers (Dacre et al., 2024). Therefore, the study will also investigate the potential of Industry 5.0 technologies, like Artificial Intelligence, to enhance sustainable production and mitigate supply chain disruptions in war-torn regions (Agrawal et al., 2023; Patalas-Maliszewska, Szmołda and Łosyk, 2024). This analysis will specifically consider how Industry 5.0 imperatives can enhance the resilience and sustainability of supply chains, particularly in mitigating challenges posed by geopolitical instability and other disruptive phenomena (Agrawal et al., 2023; Ahmed et al., 2023). This systematic review thus contributes to bridging the knowledge gap regarding the practical implementation of Industry 5.0 principles and circular supply chain practices within developing countries, especially those facing complex socio-economic and geopolitical challenges (Sami et al., 2023).

7. The Syrian Industry Context

Against this global backdrop of technological advancement and sustainable imperatives, the Syrian industrial context presents unique challenges

and opportunities for the adoption of emerging technologies. The ongoing conflict and sanctions have significantly impacted infrastructure and supply chains, necessitating innovative solutions to rebuild and modernize industries with a focus on sustainable practices (Yerram, 2021). This situation amplifies the urgency for adopting smart manufacturing strategies and integrating Industry 4.0 technologies to overcome these profound constraints and foster economic recovery (Ng et al., 2021; Kannan, Gholipour and Bai, 2023). Digital manufacturing paradigms, incorporating virtual environments and simulations, offer a pathway for Syrian industries to rebuild efficiently and sustainably, even with limited physical resources (Powell, Romero and Gaiardelli, 2022). Specifically, the integration of digital technologies can aid in developing closed-loop systems and enhancing resource efficiency, which are crucial for addressing resource scarcity in a post-conflict environment (Polo et al., 2025). Moreover, the implementation of Industry 4.0 principles can facilitate the creation of robust, resilient supply chains less susceptible to external disruptions, a critical consideration for a region prone to geopolitical instability (Ng et al., 2021). These frameworks, encompassing innovations like Industry 4.0 and smart manufacturing, enable real-time resource allocation monitoring and regulation, thereby substantially enhancing operational efficiency within the Syrian industrial landscape (Yadav et al., 2023). This approach aligns with broader global sustainability goals by reducing environmental impact and promoting resource optimization, particularly in regions facing significant reconstruction challenges (Uddin, Hossain and Das, 2022; Alhammadi et al., 2023). The integration of digital technologies and circular economy principles is therefore paramount for Syrian industries to achieve both sustainability and resilience in their rebuilding efforts (Hidalgo and Hausmann, 2009; Nazir et al., 2025). Furthermore, the adoption of these technologies can help bridge the technological gap and promote economic diversification, moving beyond traditional manufacturing methods to more advanced, knowledge-intensive production (Hidalgo and Hausmann, 2009). Such an approach can also address critical resource inefficiencies and waste reduction, contributing significantly to sustainable development through enhanced resource utilization (Das, Bressanelli and Sacconi, 2024).

Beyond these foundational benefits, embracing advanced digital infrastructures, including the Internet of Things, cyber-physical systems, and cloud computing, is crucial for establishing the real-time data exchange and automated process optimization necessary for modern sustainable manufacturing in Syria (Polo et al., 2025). This transformation would not only foster a competitive advantage globally but also enable a long-term business focus by reducing operational workloads and encouraging sustainable practices (Moghrabi et al., 2023). However, the substantial investments required, alongside data security concerns and the need for significant cultural shifts, present considerable hurdles for the widespread adoption of these advanced digital solutions within the Syrian industrial landscape (Dahmani, 2024). Addressing these challenges necessitates strategic frameworks that account for high initial setup costs, supply chain complexities, and the prevailing skill gaps, which are common impediments to widespread technological integration (Mubarik et al., 2021).

8. Frameworks and Strategies for Integrating Advanced Technologies to Foster a More Resilient, Efficient, and Environmentally Conscious Industrial Ecosystem

This integration requires comprehensive frameworks that address technological adoption, workforce development, and regulatory alignment to maximise the synergies of emerging innovations.

Such frameworks must consider the strategic implications of AI and machine learning technologies, integrating them into existing industrial processes to drive competitive advantage and foster innovation (Plathottam et al., 2023) (Джусупова, Bosch and Olsson, 2023). These frameworks also need to account for the ethical implications and data security challenges inherent in deploying AI at scale, ensuring robust governance and responsible innovation. The widespread adoption of AI in manufacturing, however, faces significant integration challenges including data-related issues, workforce concerns, and the need for trustworthy AI solutions (Windmann et al., 2024).

Addressing these barriers is crucial for unlocking the full potential of AI in sustainable manufacturing, particularly in developing robust predictive maintenance strategies (Vithi and Chibaya, 2024) (Zheng, Paiva and Gurciullo, 2020).

These strategies, often leveraging AI for predictive maintenance, are instrumental in achieving cost-effectiveness and enhanced sustainability by anticipating and mitigating equipment failures before they occur (abbas, 2024). This allows for a proactive rather than reactive maintenance approach, significantly improving overall equipment effectiveness and operational reliability (Kalogiannidis et al., 2024). For instance, machine learning algorithms can model complex non-linear relationships between sensor data and potential faults, processing large volumes of data to identify failures before they escalate and compromise operations (Leija et al., 2025). This capability is crucial for enhancing the availability of machinery and reducing the considerable costs associated with unexpected downtime (Mourtzis, Angelopoulos and Panopoulos, 2020). Furthermore, these AI-driven predictive maintenance systems not only forecast equipment failures but also optimize maintenance schedules, thereby reducing overall operational expenses and extending asset lifespan (Bernárdez et al., 2025) (Rojas, Peña and García, 2025). Moreover, the strategic application of AI in manufacturing extends beyond maintenance, profoundly influencing resource management and energy efficiency within industrial operations (Kumar and Shahin, 2025). This includes optimizing energy consumption by predicting demand fluctuations and adjusting production schedules accordingly, and minimizing material waste through precise process control (Nzama et al., 2024). These advancements lead to significant reductions in both economic and environmental costs, fostering a more sustainable manufacturing paradigm. Unexpected manufacturing failures can halt production and lead to wastage of raw materials or system malfunctions; however, the conversion of measured manufacturing process data into actionable knowledge about equipment health has proven challenging (Yuan et al., 2019). Recent advancements in AI, particularly in machine learning and deep learning, offer sophisticated solutions to process and interpret these complex data streams, enabling effective condition-based or predictive maintenance that transcends traditional reactive or time-based approaches (Yuan et al., 2019) (Bekar, Nyqvist and Skoogh, 2014).

This strategic shift towards predictive maintenance, often termed Maintenance 4.0, leverages digitized data and advanced analytical techniques to detect potential failures at their earliest stages, ensuring production continuity and enhancing business objectives (Rojek et al., 2023). The application of AI in predictive maintenance not only prevents failures but also optimizes logistic supplies by analyzing sensor data and anticipating changes in work, leading to improved project accuracy and continuous production (Shiboldenkov and Nesterova, 2020). This paradigm shift, enabled by machine learning, deep learning, and generative AI, allows for the intelligent utilization of vast datasets from sensors and network technologies to create sophisticated predictive maintenance solutions, thereby enhancing vehicle uptime and reliability (Mahale, Kolhar and More, 2025). This integration of artificial intelligence into maintenance protocols extends beyond vehicular applications to encompass a wide array of industrial machinery, revolutionizing maintenance practices across diverse sectors by enabling early detection of anomalies and optimizing maintenance scheduling (Cardoso and Ferreira, 2020). This proactive approach, underpinned by robust AI algorithms, fundamentally transforms traditional maintenance paradigms from reactive to predictive, significantly boosting efficiency and sustainability in industrial operations (Christou et al., 2020) (Theissler et al., 2021) (Ojeda et al., 2025). This shift is further amplified by the integration of the Internet of Things, which facilitates real-time data collection and interconnectivity, providing a robust framework for deploying advanced predictive analytics (Aminzadeh et al., 2025). This synergy between AI and IoT enables the continuous monitoring of industrial assets, transforming raw operational data into actionable insights for proactive maintenance and operational optimization (Samatas, Moumgiakmas and Papakostas, 2021) (Samatas, Moumgiakmas and Papakostas, 2021). This continuous data flow, coupled with sophisticated AI models, allows for the identification of subtle anomalies and degradation patterns that precede critical failures, thereby enabling just-in-time maintenance interventions (Hornýák, 2024) (Samatas, Moumgiakmas and Papakostas, 2021). This capability not only minimizes unscheduled downtime but also extends the operational lifespan of machinery, leading to substantial cost savings and improved resource utilization (Belim et al., 2024).

The implementation of these advanced predictive maintenance strategies, often incorporating explainable AI for transparent decision-making, also supports asset lifecycle management by automating complex workflows and reducing human workload (Pashami et al., 2023) (Patel et al., 2025). This holistic approach to maintenance, driven by AI, extends asset life and optimizes resource allocation, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable and efficient industrial ecosystem (Gowekar, 2024). Furthermore, the integration of multi-modal deep learning frameworks can enhance the accuracy of carbon footprint predictions, allowing industries to proactively mitigate environmental impact through intelligent process optimization and real-time operational adjustments (Alghieth, 2025). The automotive industry, in particular, has seen significant advancements in this area, leveraging machine learning to enhance vehicle functional safety and reliability throughout their operational lifetime (Theissler et al., 2021). This extends to predictive maintenance applications in other sectors, where sophisticated AI models analyze heterogeneous data streams from diverse industrial sensors to forecast potential failures and optimize maintenance schedules (Palma, Cecchi and Rizzo, 2025). This proactive monitoring, underpinned by advancements in sensor technologies and robust data analysis infrastructures, facilitates early detection of anomalies, enabling timely interventions that prevent catastrophic failures and enhance system integrity (Hoffmann et al., 2020). This allows for the dynamic adjustment of maintenance plans based on real-time equipment conditions, moving beyond static, time-based schedules to a more efficient, condition-based paradigm.

This shift significantly reduces unplanned downtime by 10-20% and lowers overall maintenance costs by 5-10%, while also optimizing maintenance planning time by 20-50% (Mourtzis, Angelopoulos and Panopoulos, 2020). These improvements are largely attributable to the capacity of AI, particularly machine learning algorithms, to analyze vast quantities of sensor data, enabling the prediction of component failures before they occur and thus allowing for scheduled rather than reactive maintenance (Hornýák, 2024).

This proactive approach, often termed Industry 4.0, harnesses machine learning to improve asset performance, understand reliability, and make informed maintenance decisions, which in turn drive down operational costs and maximize asset availability (Turnbull and Carroll, 2021).

9. Challenges & Opportunities

Despite the clear benefits and strategic imperatives for adopting sustainable manufacturing practices underpinned by Industry 4.0, numerous challenges impede their widespread implementation, particularly within the unique socio-economic and geopolitical landscape of the Syrian industry.

These challenges often stem from a combination of infrastructure deficits, economic instability, regulatory complexities, and a lack of skilled labor, which collectively hinder the effective integration of advanced sustainable technologies. These multifaceted barriers necessitate a tailored approach to facilitate the transition towards sustainable industrial practices, considering the specific context and resource constraints prevalent in Syria. Addressing these challenges requires innovative strategies that encompass technological adaptation, capacity building, and policy frameworks designed to foster resilience and promote sustainable industrial development in conflict-affected regions. Moreover, the prevailing conditions in Syria, marked by ongoing conflict and economic sanctions, significantly exacerbate these challenges, making the adoption of capital-intensive sustainable technologies particularly arduous. Furthermore, the absence of robust institutional support and limited access to international collaborations further complicates efforts to transition towards green innovation and sustainable industrial development (Liu and Ling, 2020) (Cioffi et al., 2020). These hurdles are compounded by the inherent difficulties in achieving radical, rather than merely incremental, sustainable technological change within an environment characterized by pervasive uncertainty and a business-as-usual scenario that often prioritizes immediate survival over long-term environmental stewardship (Söderholm, 2020). This context underscores the critical need for a pragmatic and phased implementation strategy, leveraging existing capacities while progressively introducing advanced digital and sustainable technologies.

However, beyond these country-specific obstacles, there are broader, systemic challenges in implementing sustainability, including inadequate knowledge dissemination, difficulties in operationalizing complex sustainable development goals, and the inherent subjectivity in evaluating social and environmental impacts across supply chains (Abbasi, 2017) (Al-Alqam, Rehman and Alsultan, 2022). This global challenge is further complicated by the inertia within existing systems of provision and consumption, which impedes the widespread diffusion of technological innovations and new infrastructures crucial for achieving sustainability (Markard, Geels and Raven, 2020). Such systemic problems, often rooted in institutional inertia and a lack of common vision, underscore the necessity for integrated policy approaches and interventions that transcend national boundaries to foster a more resilient and sustainable industrial future (Sixt, Klerkx and Griffin, 2017). This research aims to critically examine these multifaceted challenges within the Syrian industrial context, proposing actionable frameworks and strategies that account for both localized constraints and global best practices in sustainable manufacturing. The objective is to bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks for industrial sustainability and their practical application in challenging environments, emphasizing tailored solutions for resilient industrial development. This involves a comprehensive analysis of the existing industrial infrastructure, identifying critical vulnerabilities, and proposing phased interventions for technological upgrading and capacity building. This includes assessing the readiness of small and medium-sized enterprises to adopt sustainable practices, recognizing their pivotal role in economic recovery and job creation (Onu and Mbohwa, 2019). The analysis will also evaluate the efficacy of current environmental policies and regulatory frameworks, identifying gaps and opportunities for strengthening governance to promote greener industrial practices (Sinaga, HI and Pawirosumarto, 2025). This holistic approach seeks to identify pragmatic pathways for transitioning towards a more sustainable industrial paradigm, acknowledging that comprehensive industrial sustainability requires integrated solutions that address economic, environmental, and social dimensions simultaneously.

This involves a critical assessment of how existing industrial policies can be reoriented to support sustainable structural change, moving beyond purely economic metrics to encompass broader societal and environmental objectives (Ferrannini et al., 2020).

The transformation towards sustainability presents significant opportunities for countries like Syria that are not yet locked into irreversible industrial structures, allowing for leapfrogging to more advanced and sustainable production models (Kastelli, Mamica and Lee, 2023). This offers a unique chance for developing nations to integrate green industrial policies that simultaneously drive economic growth and reduce environmental impact, avoiding the retrofitting challenges faced by heavily industrialized economies (Tamasiga et al., 2023; Benito and Meyer, 2024). This allows for the development of an integrated approach to sustainable development, encouraging a stronger interrelationship between environmental and industrial policies, and promoting the role of business in achieving sustainable outcomes (Oral, Kakar and Saygin, 2021). Furthermore, aligning industrial strategies with circular economy principles and Industry 4.0 technologies can accelerate the transition to a low-carbon economy, fostering resilience against global climate change and resource depletion. Such policies, when properly formulated, can facilitate access to green technologies, support joint ventures, and invest in technical training, thus promoting a globally inclusive and fair energy transition (Maltais and Suljada, 2025). Moreover, the strategic adoption of green innovation can mitigate environmental degradation while simultaneously fostering long-term economic growth, particularly in resource-dependent regions facing pressures for economic diversification (Elkebti and Khalifa, 2025). This transformation also provides a fertile ground for cross-disciplinary collaboration, leveraging insights from environmental economics, corporate strategy, and engineering to develop holistic approaches to green industry development (Tsai, Liu and Yuan, 2023). Moreover, by embracing green industrial policies, nations can foster structural diversification and create new employment opportunities, thereby contributing to broader economic prosperity (Li, 2015; Kastelli, Mamica and Lee, 2023). These policies are crucial for emerging economies striving for both economic expansion and carbon neutrality,

promoting a resilient approach to industrial performance (Rasheed et al., 2024). This shift enables the creation of new markets for sustainable products and services, fostering a competitive edge in the global economy (Industrial Development Report 2024, 2024). Furthermore, by aligning with global sustainability agendas, Syrian industries can attract foreign direct investment and integrate into international green value chains, thereby enhancing their economic resilience and competitiveness (Maltais and Suljada, 2025). This integration into global green value chains can lead to the transfer of advanced sustainable technologies and expertise, further bolstering domestic industrial capabilities (Buda and Ricz, 2023). This engagement also provides an avenue for knowledge sharing and joint research, fostering an environment conducive to continuous innovation in sustainable practices (Bett, 2024). Moreover, the adoption of green technologies, such as solar and wind energy, alongside improved energy efficiency and resource optimization, directly contributes to reducing carbon emissions and fostering sustainable industrial growth (Filho et al., 2025; Lema, Rabellotti and Ambrogio, 2025).

By strategically integrating renewable energy and energy-efficient technologies, industries can enhance productivity, expand trade opportunities, and attract foreign direct investment, aligning with global sustainable development goals (Zehri, 2025).

10. Future Research Directions

Future research should therefore focus on developing robust methodologies for quantifying the holistic societal and environmental impacts of emerging technologies, particularly within diverse geopolitical contexts.

This involves interdisciplinary investigations into the socio-economic implications of Industry 4.0 technologies and their role in facilitating a transition towards a circular economy (Cioffi et al., 2020). Such methodologies are crucial for developing evidence-based policies and strategies that promote equitable technological adoption and sustainable industrial development. This includes a detailed analysis of how these technologies can foster resilience in supply chains and contribute to energy independence, especially in regions like Syria facing unique geopolitical and economic challenges (Lahane, Kant and Shankar, 2020) (Meng et al., 2018).

Furthermore, exploring the potential of digital transformation to underpin circular solutions in areas like transport, energy networks, and waste management presents a promising avenue for research, especially considering the broader implications for resource recovery and reuse within a sustainable framework (Felice and Petrillo, 2021) (Gai et al., 2021). Additionally, the integration of advanced digital technologies, such as federated data spaces, distributed ledger technologies, and digital product passports, holds significant promise for enhancing product traceability and lifecycle assessment, thereby enabling more effective circular economy strategies (Hulea, Miron and Mureşan, 2024) (Gazzola et al., 2025). This comprehensive approach can facilitate the transition from linear resource utilization to circular systems by enabling closed-loop material flows and minimizing waste generation (Fogarassy and Finger, 2020). A particular focus should be placed on investigating the role of blockchain technology in enhancing transparency and traceability across complex supply chains to support circular economy initiatives, addressing challenges such as scalability and interoperability (Abid et al., 2024). Further investigation is warranted into the development of policy frameworks that incentivize the adoption of these technologies while addressing potential barriers, such as the high initial investment costs and the need for specialized technical expertise (K.E.K et al., 2023). Moreover, research should explore the synergistic integration of digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence and blockchain, with circular economy principles to create resilient and sustainable industrial ecosystems (Awad, Nuseibeh and Amro, 2025) (Arenkov, Tsenzharik and Vetrova, 2019). This includes analyzing the potential for digital twins and cyber-physical systems to optimize resource allocation and enhance predictive maintenance, thereby extending the lifespan of industrial assets and reducing waste. Furthermore, the integration of big data analytics and machine learning algorithms can significantly enhance the decision-making processes within these circular supply chains, allowing for dynamic optimization of resource flows and waste reduction strategies (Giudice et al., 2020). This analytical capability can lead to more efficient material recovery and repurposing, fostering genuine closed-loop systems that transcend conventional recycling limitations (Ranasinghe, Domingo and Kahandawa, 2025).

Moreover, the application of big data-enabled large-scale group decision-making processes can further refine circular economy implementations, particularly in emerging markets where complex stakeholder coordination is crucial for achieving systemic circularity (Modgil et al., 2021). Specifically, the role of blockchain technology in facilitating a circular economy through enhanced traceability, reduced transaction costs, and improved communication across the supply chain warrants deeper exploration (Upadhyay et al., 2021) (Kouhizadeh, Sarkis and Zhu, 2019). This is particularly pertinent in contexts where supply chain integrity and transparency are critical for sustainable resource management (Yu, Umar and Rehman, 2022).

Research in this area should also address the challenges of data privacy and technological complexity inherent in blockchain implementations, alongside the need for robust regulatory frameworks to support its widespread adoption (Khair and Sandu, 2023). Furthermore, investigating the ethical implications of AI and other smart technologies in circular economy initiatives is vital, as these technologies can reshape societal structures and environmental interactions (Roberts et al., 2022). This includes exploring how to design AI systems that are inherently aligned with sustainability goals, preventing unintended negative consequences while maximizing positive environmental and social outcomes (Hong and Xiao, 2024). Such research should also consider the development of comprehensive metrics and evaluation frameworks to assess the true impact of these technologies on circularity and sustainability at both micro and macro levels. Additionally, studies should delve into the development of educational and training programs to equip the workforce with the necessary skills to leverage these advanced technologies effectively within a circular economy paradigm. Moreover, the integration of digital technologies, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence, presents a transformative opportunity to enhance material traceability and optimize reverse logistics, thereby closing resource loops more effectively (Bekrar et al., 2021) (Upadhyay et al., 2021).

11. Conclusion

This integration is crucial for fostering a comprehensive framework for sustainable manufacturing and supply chain optimization,

particularly in challenging industrial contexts. By leveraging the immutable and decentralized nature of blockchain, organizations can significantly improve transparency and traceability throughout the entire product lifecycle, which is essential for ensuring the integrity of circular material flows (Varma et al., 2024). This heightened visibility, extending beyond immediate suppliers, enables businesses to better monitor environmental and social impacts, ensuring responsible sourcing and minimizing disputes (Gazzola et al., 2023) (Köhler, Pizzol and Sarkis, 2021). Furthermore, the integration of AI can augment blockchain's capabilities by providing predictive analytics for demand forecasting and operational efficiency, thereby optimizing resource utilization and reducing waste (Yekeen, Ewim and Sam-Bulya, 2024). This synergy between AI and blockchain facilitates more intelligent decision-making, enabling proactive adjustments in supply chain operations that align with circular economy principles (Ghoreishi and Happonen, 2020). Such integration also supports the development of robust reverse logistics systems, enhancing the recovery and repurposing of materials and products (Ghahremani-Nahr, Aliahmadi and Nozari, 2022). This is especially relevant in uncertain environments, where the ability to rapidly adapt and maintain operational continuity is paramount for supply chain resilience (Kazançoğlu et al., 2022). The decentralized and immutable characteristics of blockchain technology enable real-time monitoring and efficient coordination across intricate supply networks, which are critical for rapid recovery and sustained operations during disruptions (Zhou, 2024). This enhancement of real-time traceability through blockchain not only minimizes the impact of disruptions but also cultivates greater trust among stakeholders by providing immutable records of product movement and handling (Khair and Sandu, 2023). This enhanced transparency, coupled with AI-driven insights, ensures that supply chain participants can verify the authenticity and origin of materials, mitigating risks associated with counterfeiting and ensuring compliance with sustainability standards. This comprehensive approach, underpinned by digital innovation, can significantly advance the adoption of sustainable practices and enhance the overall resilience of industrial ecosystems, especially in developing regions (Kshetri, 2021). Further research is warranted to explore the specific challenges and,

opportunities for implementing these integrated technologies within the Syrian industrial context, given its unique economic and geopolitical landscape. Specifically, future studies should focus on developing tailored frameworks that account for local infrastructure limitations, regulatory complexities, and the specific needs of Syrian industries to ensure practical and effective technology adoption. The insights gained from such studies could provide a blueprint for other developing economies facing similar challenges, highlighting transferable strategies for leveraging emerging technologies to build resilient and sustainable industrial infrastructures (Enyejo et al., 2024). Beyond the immediate applications, the integration of these technologies offers a pathway to establishing dynamic, self-optimizing supply networks that can adapt swiftly to unforeseen disruptions and continuously improve resource efficiency. This adaptive capacity is further amplified by the inherent security and auditability provided by blockchain, fostering a new paradigm of verifiable sustainability throughout the supply chain (Jabbar et al., 2020). This systematic integration offers a profound shift towards proactive rather than reactive supply chain management, embedding resilience and sustainability by design rather than as an afterthought (Bednarski et al., 2023). The growing interest in blockchain-based information systems for sustainable supply chain management highlights their disruptive potential and role in promoting sustainable business practices (Paliwal, Chandra and Sharma, 2020). Specifically, blockchain's immutable ledger and distributed nature can address institutional deficiencies often present in developing countries, facilitating greater adherence to sustainability principles and reducing the prevalence of illicit or low-quality goods within supply chains (Kshetri, 2021). This is particularly pertinent in developing nations, where weak regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms often lead to significant sustainability violations deeper within supply chains, encompassing environmental degradation and unethical labor practices (Kshetri, 2021). The inherent transparency and traceability afforded by blockchain technology can therefore play a pivotal role in ensuring compliance with international sustainability standards and improving overall supply chain visibility, particularly in contexts where opaque practices are common (Köhler, Pizzol and Sarkis, 2021) (Varriale et al., 2020).

However, successful adoption of blockchain technology in sustainable supply chains requires addressing various barriers, including technological, economic, and organizational challenges specific to different industrial contexts (Kouhizadeh, Saberi and Sarkis, 2020). This necessitates a nuanced understanding of local infrastructure, regulatory environments, and industry-specific needs to tailor implementation strategies effectively, ensuring that the technology delivers its full potential for enhanced transparency and accountability (Park and Li, 2021) (Adewusi, Chiekezie and Eyo-Udo, 2023).

A detailed assessment of the existing digital infrastructure and a comprehensive analysis of the regulatory landscape in the Syrian context would be crucial for identifying suitable blockchain platforms and establishing supportive policies for their integration into industrial supply chains.

Such an assessment would not only inform the technical feasibility but also highlight the socio-economic implications and potential for creating green jobs within the emerging digital economy. Furthermore, understanding the perceptions of professionals regarding blockchain adoption is vital for developing effective implementation guidelines that address potential resistance and foster widespread acceptance (Alharthi, Cerotti and Far, 2020). This approach can also identify critical success factors and potential pitfalls, offering valuable insights for policymakers and industry leaders. Given that 73% of millennials express willingness to pay more for sustainably and ethically sourced products, blockchain can significantly enhance a firm's ability to demonstrate its commitment to sustainability to consumers and other stakeholders (Kshetri, 2021).

References

1. Abadi, M. *et al.* (2024) "Leveraging AI for energy-efficient manufacturing systems: Review and future perspectives," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 78, p. 153. doi:10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.11.017.
2. Abbas, Asad (2024) "AI for Predictive Maintenance in Industrial Systems." doi:10.31219/osf.io/vq8zg.
3. Abdel-Aty, T.A. and Negri, E. (2024) "Conceptualizing the digital thread for smart manufacturing: a systematic literature review," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 35(8), p. 3629. doi:10.1007/s10845-024-02407-1.
4. Abedalrhman, K. (2025a) "Assessing Agricultural Health through FinTech Data -An Analytical Approach," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5073701.
5. Abedalrhman, K. (2025b) "Disruptive Financial Technologies: A Comprehensive Analysis of Blockchain, AI-driven Analytics, and Digital Payment Systems in Modern Financial Ecosystems-Implications for Syria's Financial Sector," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5394161.
6. Abedalrhman, K. (2025c) "Integrative Analysis of FinTech Innovations in Industry: Enhancements and Challenges," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5074495.
7. Abedalrhman, K. (2025d) "Revolutionizing Tourism: The Impact and Potential of Financial Technology Applications," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5074491.
8. Abedalrhman, K. (2025e) "The Digital Lira Initiative: Syria's Strategy to Combat Inflation and Drive Economic Growth," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5246990.
9. Abedalrhman, K. *et al.* (2025) "The Intersection Of Fintech And E-Mobility: Shaping The Future Of Eco-Friendly Transportation Solutions," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5209238.
10. Abedalrhman, K. and Alzaydi, A. (2024a) "Integration of FinTech Applications in Public Health Strategies for Sustainable Development," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.4970995.
11. Abedalrhman, K. and Alzaydi, A. (2024b) "Saudi Arabia's Strategic Leap towards a Diversified Economy and Technological Innovation," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5048258.
12. Abedalrhman, K. and Alzaydi, A. (2025a) "Digital Innovations in Healthcare: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence, IoT, and Big Data Analytics for Personalized Medicine and Improved Patient Outcomes-Insights from the Syrian Healthcare Sector," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5286200.

13. Abedalrhman, K. and Alzaydi, A. (2025b) "Precision Agriculture 4.0: Integrating Advanced IoT, AI, and Robotics Solutions for Enhanced Yield, Sustainability, and Resource Optimization-Evidence from Agricultural Practices in Syria," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5278417.
14. Abedalrhman, K., Alzaydi, A. and Shibani, Y. (2024) "The Convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Financial Technologies (FinTech) in Shaping Future Urban Landscape Planning," *Advances in Research*, 25(5), p. 337. doi:10.9734/air/2024/v25i51166.
15. Abid, I. et al. (2024) "A Systematic Literature Review on the Use of Blockchain Technology in Transition to a Circular Economy," *arXiv (Cornell University)* [Preprint]. doi:10.48550/arxiv.2408.11664.
16. Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. and Eyo-Udo, N.L. (2023) "Blockchain technology in agriculture: Enhancing supply chain transparency and traceability," *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 5(12), p. 479. doi:10.51594/farj.v5i12.1514.
17. Agrawal, S. et al. (2023) "Can industry 5.0 technologies overcome supply chain disruptions?—a perspective study on pandemics, war, and climate change issues," *Operations Management Research*, 17(2), p. 453. doi:10.1007/s12063-023-00410-y.
18. Ahamed, M., Rahim, M.F.A. and Ahmad, A.A. (2022) "Humanizing the Fourth Industry Revolution in Sustainable Supply Chain Management," in *Advances in economics, business and management research/Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*. Atlantis Press, p. 354. doi:10.2991/978-94-6463-080-0_31.
19. Ahmed, T. et al. (2023) "Modeling the artificial intelligence-based imperatives of industry 5.0 towards resilient supply chains: A post-COVID-19 pandemic perspective," *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 177, p. 109055. doi:10.1016/j.cie.2023.109055.
20. Al-Alqam, M.S., Rehman, A.U. and Alsultan, M. (2022) "Study of Saudi Arabian Manufacturing and Service Organization Sustainability and Future Research Directions," *IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 1026(1), p. 12004. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1026/1/012004.
21. Alam, S. et al. (2023) "Mechanism of knowledge management process towards minimizing manufacturing risk under green technology implementation: an empirical assessment," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(18), p. 51977. doi:10.1007/s11356-023-25945-2.
22. Alghieth, M. (2025) "Sustain AI: A Multi-Modal Deep Learning Framework for Carbon Footprint Reduction in Industrial Manufacturing," *Sustainability*, 17(9), p. 4134. doi:10.3390/su17094134.
23. Alhammadi, A. et al. (2023) "The role of industry 4.0 in advancing sustainability development: A focus review in the United Arab Emirates," *Cleaner Engineering and Technology*. Elsevier BV, p. 100708. doi:10.1016/j.clet.2023.100708.
24. Aljohani, A. (2023) "Predictive Analytics and Machine Learning for Real-Time Supply Chain Risk Mitigation and Agility," *Sustainability*, 15(20), p. 15088. doi:10.3390/su152015088.
25. Alomar, M.A. (2022) "Performance Optimization of Industrial Supply Chain Using Artificial Intelligence," *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022, p. 1. doi:10.1155/2022/9306265.
26. Alquraish, M. (2025) "Digital Transformation, Supply Chain Resilience, and Sustainability: A Comprehensive Review with Implications for Saudi Arabian Manufacturing," *Sustainability*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 4495. doi:10.3390/su17104495.
27. Alzaydi, A. et al. (2024) "Human-Robot Interaction in Saudi Arabia's E-Mobility Transition -A Literature Review," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.4970991.
28. Alzaydi, A. et al. (2025) "Advancing Autonomous Vehicle Navigation through Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural Network Training Systems," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5048252.
29. Alzaydi, A. and Abedalrhman, K. (2025a) "Innovation and Infrastructure: Charting Syria's Tech-Driven Future," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5338026.

30. Alzaydi, A. and Abedalrhan, K. (2025b) "Strategic Integration of Artificial Intelligence and FinTech Innovations in Renewable Energy Management," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.5048248.
31. Alzaydi, A., Abedalrhan, K. and Nurhaliza, S. (2024) "Enhancing Cyber Defense Mechanisms for Genomic Data in Personalized Healthcare Systems," *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Preprint]. doi:10.2139/ssrn.4970997.
32. Aminzadeh, A. et al. (2025) "A Machine Learning Implementation to Predictive Maintenance and Monitoring of Industrial Compressors," *Sensors*, 25(4), p. 1006. doi:10.3390/s25041006.
33. Anumbe, N., Saidy, C. and Harik, R. (2022) "A Primer on the Factories of the Future," *Sensors*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 5834. doi:10.3390/s22155834.
34. Arenkov, I., Tsenzharik, M. and Vetrova, M.A. (2019) "Digital technologies in supply chain management." doi:10.2991/icdtli-19.2019.78.
35. Awad, I.M.A., Nuseibeh, H.Z. and Amro, A.A. (2025) "Competitiveness in the Era of Circular Economy and Digital Innovations: An Integrative Literature Review," *Sustainability*, 17(10), p. 4599. doi:10.3390/su17104599.
36. Bányai, T. and Tóth, Á.B. (2025) "Real-Time Maintenance Optimization with Industrial Internet of Things," *Applied Sciences*, 15(10), p. 5640. doi:10.3390/app15105640.
37. Bednarski, L. et al. (2023) "Geopolitical disruptions in global supply chains: a state-of-the-art literature review," *Production Planning & Control*, p. 1. doi:10.1080/09537287.2023.2286283.
38. Bekar, E.T., Nyqvist, P. and Skoogh, A. (2014) "An intelligent approach for data pre-processing and analysis in predictive maintenance with an industrial case study," *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 12(5). doi:10.1177/1687814020919207.
39. Bekrar, A. et al. (2021) "Digitalizing the Closing-of-the-Loop for Supply Chains: A Transportation and Blockchain Perspective," *Sustainability*, 13(5), p. 2895. doi:10.3390/su13052895.
40. Belim, M. et al. (2024) "Forecasting models analysis for predictive maintenance," *Frontiers in Manufacturing Technology*, 4. doi:10.3389/fmtec.2024.1475078.
41. Benito, G.R.G. and Meyer, K.E. (2024) "Industrial policy, green challenges, and international business," *Journal of International Business Studies* [Preprint]. doi:10.1057/s41267-024-00722-6.
42. Bernárdez, J.M. et al. (2025) "Interdepartmental Optimization in Steel Manufacturing: An Artificial Intelligence Approach for Enhancing Decision-Making and Quality Control." doi:10.20944/preprints202502.2099.v1.
43. Bett, S.K. (2024) "Nexus of Green Innovation and Sustainable Development: A Systematic Review of Literature," *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, p. 80. doi:10.47772/ijriss.2024.814mg008.
44. Bubeník, P. et al. (2025) "Optimization of Business Processes Using Artificial Intelligence," *Electronics*, 14(11), p. 2105. doi:10.3390/electronics14112105.
45. Buda, G. and Ricz, J. (2023) "Industrial symbiosis and industrial policy for sustainable development in Uganda," *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy*, 4(1), p. 165. doi:10.1007/s43253-023-00097-8.
46. Challouf, K., Alhloul, A. and Németh, N. (2025) "Mapping the role of industry 4.0 technologies in green supply chain management: a bibliometric and structured text analysis," *Discover Sustainability*, 6(1). doi:10.1007/s43621-025-01827-0.
47. Chen, P. and Kim, S. (2023) "The impact of digital transformation on innovation performance - The mediating role of innovation factors," *Heliyon*, 9(3). doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13916.
48. Christou, I.T. et al. (2020) "End-to-End Industrial IoT Platform for Actionable Predictive Maintenance," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 53(3), p. 173. doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2020.11.028.
49. Cioffi, R. et al. (2020) "Smart Manufacturing Systems and Applied Industrial Technologies for a Sustainable Industry: A Systematic Literature Review," *Applied Sciences*, 10(8), p. 2897. doi:10.3390/app10082897.
50. Alzaydi, Ammar. "Cost-Efficient Electromagnetically-Actuated Rotational Adaptive Mirror Design." *Engineering Review*, 2024. DOI: 10.30765/er.2394.

51. Coraci, F. and Abulrub, A.-H.G. (2021) "Investigation into the Role of Leadership in the Management of Digital Transformation for Small and Medium Enterprises," *International Journal of Economics and Management Engineering*, 15(4), p. 349. Available at: <https://publications.waset.org/10011943/pdf> (Accessed: March 2025).
52. Cordón, C. (2023) *The Surprising Developments of Digital Supply Chains to Raise Resilience in the Face of Disruptions*. doi:10.56506/kpjd9061.
53. Dacre, N. et al. (2024) "Advancing sustainable manufacturing: a systematic exploration of Industry 5.0 supply chains for sustainability, human-centricity, and resilience," *Production Planning & Control*, p. 1. doi:10.1080/09537287.2024.2380361.
54. Dahmani, M. (2024) "The Impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution on Business Performance and Sustainability: A Literature Review," *Theoretical Economics Letters*. Scientific Research Publishing, p. 94. doi:10.4236/tel.2024.141006.
55. Das, S.K., Bressanelli, G. and Sacconi, N. (2024) "Clustering the Research at the Intersection of Industry 4.0 Technologies, Environmental Sustainability and Circular Economy: Evidence from Literature and Future Research Directions," *Circular Economy and Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s43615-024-00393-3.
56. Elkebti, O.A.M. and Khalifa, W.M.S. (2025) "Assessing the Saudi and Middle East Green Initiatives: The Role of Environmental Governance, Renewable Energy Transition, and Innovation in Achieving a Regional Green Future," *Sustainability*, 17(12), p. 5307. doi:10.3390/su17125307.
57. Enyejo, J.O. et al. (2024) "Resilience in supply chains: How technology is helping USA companies navigate disruptions," *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 11(2), p. 261. doi:10.30574/msarr.2024.11.2.0129.
58. Felice, F.D. and Petrillo, A. (2021) "Product Lifecycle: Social and Political Reflections from the Digital and Sustainable Perspectives," in *IntechOpen eBooks*. IntechOpen. doi:10.5772/intechopen.100938.
59. Feroz, A.K., Zo, H. and Chiravuri, A. (2021) "Digital Transformation and Environmental Sustainability: A Review and Research Agenda," *Sustainability*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 1530. doi:10.3390/su13031530.
60. Ferrannini, A. et al. (2020) "Industrial policy for sustainable human development in the post-Covid19 era," *World Development*, 137, p. 105215. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105215.
61. Filho, W.L. et al. (2025) "Greening the factory floor and reducing the climate impact of the manufacturing sector," *Discover Sustainability*, 6(1). doi:10.1007/s43621-025-02056-1.
62. Alzaydi, Ammar. Electro-Magnetic Actuation Rotational Adaptive Mirror. U.S. Patent No. 10,365,473 B1, 30 July 2019.
63. Fogarassy, C. and Finger, D.C. (2020) "Theoretical and Practical Approaches of Circular Economy for Business Models and Technological Solutions," *Resources*, 9(6), p. 76. doi:10.3390/resources9060076.
64. Franzè, C., Paolucci, E. and Pessot, E. (2023) "Sustained value creation driven by digital connectivity: A multiple case study in the mechanical components industry," *Technovation*, 129, p. 102918. doi:10.1016/j.technovation.2023.102918.
65. Früchtl, M., Leis, M. and Wertheim, R. (2020) "A comprehensive and interdisciplinary perspective on sustainable manufacturing towards sustainable life cycles," *Procedia Manufacturing*, 43, p. 383. doi:10.1016/j.promfg.2020.02.197.
66. Gai, L. et al. (2021) "Trade-offs between the recovery, exergy demand and economy in the recycling of multiple resources," *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 167, p. 105428. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105428.
67. Gani, A., Asjad, M. and Talib, F. (2023) "A review of Socio-economic indicators of sustainable Manufacturing," *E3S Web of Conferences*. EDP Sciences, p. 1164. doi:10.1051/e3sconf/202339101164.
68. Gazzola, P. et al. (2023) "Using the Transparency of Supply Chain Powered by Blockchain to Improve Sustainability Relationships with Stakeholders in the Food Sector: The Case Study of Lavazza," *Sustainability*, 15(10), p. 7884. doi:10.3390/su15107884.

69. Gazzola, P. *et al.* (2025) "The Circular Economy and the Role of Technology in the Fashion Industry: A Comparison of Empirical Evidence," *Sustainability*, 17(7), p. 3104. doi:10.3390/su17073104.
70. Ghag, N. *et al.* (2024) "Unlocking AI's potential in the food supply chain: A novel approach to overcoming barriers," *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 18, p. 101349. doi:10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101349.
71. Ghahremani-Nahr, J., Aliahmadi, A. and Nozari, H. (2022) "An IoT-based sustainable supply chain framework and blockchain," *International Journal of Innovation in Engineering*, 2(1), p. 12. doi:10.59615/ijie.2.1.12.
72. Ghobakhloo, M. *et al.* (2021) "Industry 4.0, innovation, and sustainable development: A systematic review and a roadmap to sustainable innovation," *Business Strategy and the Environment*. Wiley, p. 4237. doi:10.1002/bse.2867.
73. Alzaydi, Ammar. Multi-Walled Fluid Storage Tank. U.S. Patent No. 11,619,354 B2, 4 Apr. 2023.
74. Ghobakhloo, M. *et al.* (2024) "Beyond Industry 4.0: a systematic review of Industry 5.0 technologies and implications for social, environmental and economic sustainability," *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*. Emerald Publishing Limited. doi:10.1108/apjba-08-2023-0384.
75. Ghoreishi, M. and Happonen, A. (2020) "Key enablers for deploying artificial intelligence for circular economy embracing sustainable product design: Three case studies," *AIP conference proceedings* [Preprint]. doi:10.1063/5.0001339.
76. Giudice, M.D. *et al.* (2020) "Supply chain management in the era of circular economy: the moderating effect of big data," *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 32(2), p. 337. doi:10.1108/ijlm-03-2020-0119.
77. Goswami, S.S. *et al.* (2024) "Artificial Intelligence Enabled Supply Chain Management: Unlocking New Opportunities and Challenges," *Artificial Intelligence and Applications* [Preprint]. doi:10.47852/aia42021814.
78. Gowekar, G.S. (2024) "Artificial intelligence for predictive maintenance in oil and gas operations," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 23(3), p. 1228. doi:10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.3.2721.
79. Han, F. *et al.* (2024) "Towards Sustainable Industry: A Comprehensive Review of Energy–Economy–Environment System Analysis and Future Trends," *Sustainability*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 5085. doi:10.3390/su16125085.
80. Hoffmann, M. *et al.* (2020) "Integration of Novel Sensors and Machine Learning for Predictive Maintenance in Medium Voltage Switchgear to Enable the Energy and Mobility Revolutions," *Sensors*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 2099. doi:10.3390/s20072099.
81. Hong, Z. and Xiao, K. (2024) "Digital economy structuring for sustainable development: the role of blockchain and artificial intelligence in improving supply chain and reducing negative environmental impacts," *Scientific Reports*, 14(1). doi:10.1038/s41598-024-53760-3.
82. Hoosain, M.S., Paul, B.S. and Ramakrishna, S. (2020) "The Impact of 4IR Digital Technologies and Circular Thinking on the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals," *Sustainability*, 12(23), p. 10143. doi:10.3390/su122310143.
83. Hornyák, O. (2024) "Data-Driven Engine Health Monitoring with AI," p. 39. doi:10.3390/engproc2024079039.
84. Hulea, M., Miron, R. and Mureşan, V. (2024) "Digital Product Passport Implementation Based on Multi-Blockchain Approach with Decentralized Identifier Provider," *Applied Sciences*, 14(11), p. 4874. doi:10.3390/app14114874.
85. *Industrial Development Report 2024* (2024) *Industrial development report*. United Nations. doi:10.18356/9789211066647.
86. "INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN THE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW" (2024) *Deleted Journal*, 3(2), p. 1. doi:10.62304/jjeet.v3i02.81.
87. ISMAEIL, M.K.L. and Lalla, A.F. (2024) "The Role and Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Supply Chain Management: Efficiency, Challenges, and Strategic Implementation," *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(4), p. 89. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i4.3461.

88. Jabbar, S. *et al.* (2020) "Blockchain-enabled supply chain: analysis, challenges, and future directions," *Multimedia Systems*, 27(4), p. 787. doi:10.1007/s00530-020-00687-0.
89. Alzaydi, Ammar. "Time-Optimal, Minimum-Jerk, and Acceleration Continuous Looping and Stitching Trajectory Generation for 5-Axis On-the-Fly Laser Drilling." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 121, 2019, pp. 532–550. Elsevier. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2018.11.045>
90. Jagatheesaperumal, S.K. *et al.* (2021) "The Duo of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data for Industry 4.0: Review of Applications, Techniques, Challenges, and Future Research Directions." doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2104.02425.
91. Jaouhari, A.E. *et al.* (2023) "Net zero supply chain performance and industry 4.0 technologies: Past review and present introspective analysis for future research directions," *Heliyon*. Elsevier BV. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21525.
92. Jaouhari, A.E. *et al.* (2024) "Forging a green future: Synergizing industry 4.0 technologies and circular economy tactics to achieve net-zero in sustainable supply chains," *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, p. 110691. doi:10.1016/j.cie.2024.110691.
93. Joel, O.S. *et al.* (2024) "LEVERAGING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR ENHANCED SUPPLY CHAIN OPTIMIZATION: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF CURRENT PRACTICES AND FUTURE POTENTIALS," *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. Fair East Publishers, p. 707. doi:10.51594/ijmer.v6i3.882.
94. Kahveci, S. *et al.* (2022) "An end-to-end big data analytics platform for IoT-enabled smart factories: A case study of battery module assembly system for electric vehicles," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 63, p. 214. doi:10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.03.010.
95. Kalogiannidis, S. *et al.* (2024) "The Role of Artificial Intelligence Technology in Predictive Risk Assessment for Business Continuity: A Case Study of Greece," *Risks*, 12(2), p. 19. doi:10.3390/risks12020019.
96. Kalsoom, T. *et al.* (2020) "Advances in Sensor Technologies in the Era of Smart Factory and Industry 4.0," *Sensors*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 6783. doi:10.3390/s20236783.
97. Kannan, D., Gholipour, P. and Bai, C. (2023) "Smart manufacturing as a strategic tool to mitigate sustainable manufacturing challenges: a case approach," *Annals of Operations Research*, 331(1), p. 543. doi:10.1007/s10479-023-05472-6.
98. Kannan, S. and Gambetta, N. (2025) "Technology-driven Sustainability in Small and Medium-sized Enterprises: A Systematic Literature Review," *Journal of Small Business Strategy*, 35(1). doi:10.53703/001c.126636.
99. Alzaydi, Ammar. "Self-Balancing System and Control Design for Two-Wheeled Single-Track Vehicles." *Proceedings of the 20th International Multi-Conference on Systems, Signals and Devices (SSD 2023)*, IEEE, 2023.
100. Kastelli, I., Mamica, Ł. and Lee, K. (2023) "New perspectives and issues in industrial policy for sustainable development: from developmental and entrepreneurial to environmental state," *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy*, 4(1), p. 1. doi:10.1007/s43253-023-00100-2.
101. Kazakova, E. and Lee, J. (2022) "Sustainable Manufacturing for a Circular Economy," *Sustainability*, 14(24), p. 17010. doi:10.3390/su142417010.
102. Kazançoğlu, İ. *et al.* (2022) "Using emerging technologies to improve the sustainability and resilience of supply chains in a fuzzy environment in the context of COVID-19," *Annals of Operations Research*, 322(1), p. 217. doi:10.1007/s10479-022-04775-4.
103. E.K, V. *et al.* (2023) "Barriers to the adoption of digital technologies in a functional circular economy network," *Operations Management Research*, 16(3), p. 1541. doi:10.1007/s12063-023-00375-y.
104. Khair, M.A. and Sandu, A.K. (2023) "Blockchain-Optimized Supply Chain Traceability System for Transparent Logistics." Available at: <https://hal.science/hal-04543221> (Accessed: August 2025).
105. Kim, S.W. *et al.* (2021) "Recent Advances of Artificial Intelligence in Manufacturing Industrial Sectors: A Review," *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*. Springer Science+Business Media, p. 111. doi:10.1007/s12541-021-00600-3.

106. Köhler, S., Pizzol, M. and Sarkis, J. (2021) "Unfinished Paths—From Blockchain to Sustainability in Supply Chains," *Frontiers in Blockchain*, 4. doi:10.3389/fbloc.2021.720347.
107. Kouhizadeh, M., Saberi, S. and Sarkis, J. (2020) "Blockchain technology and the sustainable supply chain: Theoretically exploring adoption barriers," *International Journal of Production Economics*, 231, p. 107831. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107831.
108. Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J. and Zhu, Q. (2019) "At the Nexus of Blockchain Technology, the Circular Economy, and Product Deletion," *Applied Sciences*, 9(8), p. 1712. doi:10.3390/app9081712.
109. Alzaydi, Ammar. Radar System for Identifying Material Composition of Aerial Objects. U.S. Patent No. 12,449,511 B1, 21 Oct. 2025.
110. Krimi, I., Bahou, Z. and Al-Aomar, R. (2024) "Resilient and sustainable B2B chemical supply chain capacity expansions: a systematic literature review," *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, 39(13), p. 175. doi:10.1108/jbim-01-2024-0017.
111. Kshetri, N. (2021) "Blockchain and sustainable supply chain management in developing countries," *International Journal of Information Management*, 60, p. 102376. doi:10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102376.
112. Kumar, P. et al. (2024) "AI-enhanced inventory and demand forecasting: Using AI to optimize inventory management and predict customer demand," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 23(1), p. 1931. doi:10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2173.
113. Kumar, V. and Shahin, K. (2025) "Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Sustainable Manufacturing: Current Trends and Future Prospects," *Intelligent and sustainable manufacturing*, 2(1), p. 10002. doi:10.70322/ism.2025.10002.
114. Lahane, S., Kant, R. and Shankar, R. (2020) "Circular supply chain management: A state-of-art review and future opportunities," *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier BV, p. 120859. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120859.
115. Leija, A.B.M. et al. (2025) "Performance of Machine Learning Algorithms in Fault Diagnosis for Manufacturing Systems: A Comparative Analysis," *Processes*, 13(6), p. 1624. doi:10.3390/pr13061624.
116. Lema, R., Rabelotti, R. and Ambrogi, J. (2025) "Seizing windows of opportunity in green global value chains: the role of industrial policies in middle-income countries," *Journal of International Business Policy*, 8(3), p. 341. doi:10.1057/s42214-025-00219-5.
117. Li, W. et al. (2024) "Learning from demonstration for autonomous generation of robotic trajectory: Status quo and forward-looking overview," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 62, p. 102625. doi:10.1016/j.aei.2024.102625.
118. Li, Y. (2015) "Towards Inclusive and Sustainable Industrial Development," *Development*, 58(4), p. 446. doi:10.1057/s41301-016-0055-8.
119. Liu, H. and Ling, D. (2020) "Value chain reconstruction and sustainable development of green manufacturing industry," *Sustainable Computing Informatics and Systems*, 28, p. 100418. doi:10.1016/j.suscom.2020.100418.
120. Liu, Y. et al. (2024) "Affording digital transformation: The role of industrial Internet platform in traditional manufacturing enterprises digital transformation," *Heliyon*, 10(7). doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e28772.
121. Mahale, Y., Kolhar, S. and More, A.S. (2025) "A comprehensive review on artificial intelligence driven predictive maintenance in vehicles: technologies, challenges and future research directions," *Deleted Journal*. doi:10.1007/s42452-025-06681-3.
122. Mahesh, P. et al. (2020) "A Survey of Cybersecurity and Resilience of Digital Manufacturing." Available at: <https://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/journals/corr/corr2006.html#abs-2006-05042> (Accessed: August 2025).
123. Malik, S. (2024) "Data-Driven Decision-Making: Leveraging the IoT for Real-Time Sustainability in Organizational Behavior," *Sustainability*, 16(15), p. 6302. doi:10.3390/su16156302.
124. Alzaydi, Ammar. Deformable Mirror with Magnetic System for Configuring a Reflective Film. U.S. Patent No. 10,495,872 B2, 3 Dec. 2019.

125. Maltais, A. and Suljada, T. (2025) *Green industrial policy: challenges and opportunities for a globally inclusive and fair energy transition*. doi:10.51414/sei2025.047.
126. Maretto, L., Faccio, M. and Battini, D. (2023) "The adoption of digital technologies in the manufacturing world and their evaluation: A systematic review of real-life case studies and future research agenda," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*. Elsevier BV, p. 576. doi:10.1016/j.jmsy.2023.05.009.
127. Markard, J., Geels, F.W. and Raven, R. (2020) "Challenges in the acceleration of sustainability transitions," *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(8), p. 81001. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab9468.
128. Moch, E. (2024) "The Fourth Industrial Revolution and Its Impacts on Production Processes and Efficiency Enhancements Through Automation and Data Networking," *East African Journal of Business and Economics*, 7(1), p. 370. doi:10.37284/eajbe.7.1.2109.
129. Modgil, S. et al. (2021) "Big data-enabled large-scale group decision making for circular economy: An emerging market context," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 166, p. 120607. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120607.
130. Moghrabi, I.A.R. et al. (2023) "Digital Transformation and Its Influence on Sustainable Manufacturing and Business Practices," *Sustainability*, 15(4), p. 3010. doi:10.3390/su15043010.
131. Mohsen, B.M. (2023) "Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Supply Chain Management Performance," *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 16(1), p. 44. doi:10.4236/jssm.2023.161004.
132. Mohsen, B.M. (2024) "AI-Driven Optimization of Urban Logistics in Smart Cities: Integrating Autonomous Vehicles and IoT for Efficient Delivery Systems," *Sustainability*, 16(24), p. 11265. doi:10.3390/su162411265.
133. Mourtzis, D., Angelopoulos, J. and Panopoulos, N. (2020) "Intelligent Predictive Maintenance and Remote Monitoring Framework for Industrial Equipment Based on Mixed Reality," *Frontiers in Mechanical Engineering*, 6. doi:10.3389/fmech.2020.578379.
134. Alzaydi, Ammar. "Adaptive Optics Rotational Design and Electro-Magnetic Actuation." Proceedings of the 20th International Multi-Conference on Systems, Signals and Devices (SSD 2023), IEEE, 2023.
135. Mubarik, M.S. et al. (2021) "Resilience and cleaner production in industry 4.0: Role of supply chain mapping and visibility," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 292, p. 126058. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126058.
136. Muhammad, A. et al. (2023) "Sustainable Waste Management in Malaysia: Leveraging Supply Chain Solutions for a Greener Future," *Information Management and Business Review*, 15, p. 147. doi:10.22610/imbr.v15i3(si).3469.
137. Najmi, M.H., Iqbal, S. and Khan, S.A. (2024) "Aligning Supply Chain Functions with Emerging Technologies: A Strategic Approach," p. 34. doi:10.3390/engproc2024076034.
138. Nazir, S. et al. (2025) "Industry 4.0 Integration for Sustainability and Value Creation: Moderating Role of Digital and Environmental Strategy," *Business Strategy and the Environment* [Preprint]. doi:10.1002/bse.70283.
139. Ng, T.C. et al. (2021) "Industry 4.0 applications for sustainable manufacturing: A systematic literature review and a roadmap to sustainable development," *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier BV, p. 130133. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.130133.
140. Nguyen, K. et al. (2023) "Navigating Environmental Challenges through Supply Chain Quality Management 4.0 in Circular Economy: A Comprehensive Review," *Sustainability*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 16720. doi:10.3390/su152416720.
141. Nzama, M.L. et al. (2024) "The influence of artificial intelligence on the manufacturing industry in South Africa," *South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences*, 27(1). doi:10.4102/sajems.v27i1.5520.
142. Obasi, I.C. and Benson, C. (2025) "The Impact of Digitalization and Information and Communication Technology on the Nature and Organization of Work and the Emerging Challenges for Occupational Safety and Health," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 362. doi:10.3390/ijerph22030362.

143. Ojeda, J.C.O. *et al.* (2025) "Application of a Predictive Model to Reduce Unplanned Downtime in Automotive Industry Production Processes: A Sustainability Perspective," *Sustainability*, 17(9), p. 3926. doi:10.3390/su17093926.
144. Oláh, J. *et al.* (2020) "Impact of Industry 4.0 on Environmental Sustainability," *Sustainability*, 12(11), p. 4674. doi:10.3390/su12114674.
145. Olawade, D.B. *et al.* (2024) "Artificial intelligence potential for net zero sustainability: Current evidence and prospects," *Next Sustainability*, 4, p. 100041. doi:10.1016/j.nxsust.2024.100041.
146. Oral, H.V., Kakar, A.E. and Saygin, H. (2021) "Feasible industrial sustainable development strategies for the Herat Province of Afghanistan," *Technology in Society*, 65, p. 101603. doi:10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101603.
147. Ouanhlee, T. (2024) "The Influence of the Manufacturing Industry Environment, Organizational Structures, and Economic Trends on Employee Responsibilities in the Manufacturing Industry," *Technology and Investment*, 15(1), p. 39. doi:10.4236/ti.2024.151004.
148. Alzaydi, A. Origami Robotics in Biomedical Applications: A Paradigm Shift in Design and Innovation. *Ann Biomed Eng* (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-026-04078-w>
149. Paliwal, V., Chandra, S. and Sharma, S. (2020) "Blockchain Technology for Sustainable Supply Chain Management: A Systematic Literature Review and a Classification Framework," *Sustainability*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 7638. doi:10.3390/su12187638.
150. Palma, G., Cecchi, G. and Rizzo, A. (2025) "Large Language Models for Predictive Maintenance in the Leather Tanning Industry: Multimodal Anomaly Detection in Compressors," *Electronics*, 14(10), p. 2061. doi:10.3390/electronics14102061.
151. Park, A. and Li, H. (2021) "The Effect of Blockchain Technology on Supply Chain Sustainability Performances," *Sustainability*, 13(4), p. 1726. doi:10.3390/su13041726.
152. Pashami, S. *et al.* (2023) "Explainable Predictive Maintenance," *arXiv (Cornell University)* [Preprint]. doi:10.48550/arxiv.2306.05120.
153. Patalas-Maliszewska, J., Szmołda, M. and Łosyk, H. (2024) "Integrating Artificial Intelligence into the Supply Chain in Order to Enhance Sustainable Production—A Systematic Literature Review," *Sustainability*, 16(16), p. 7110. doi:10.3390/su16167110.
154. Patel, D. *et al.* (2025) "AssetOpsBench: Benchmarking AI Agents for Task Automation in Industrial Asset Operations and Maintenance." doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2506.03828.
155. Patil, D.T. (2025) "Artificial Intelligence-Driven Predictive Maintenance In Manufacturing: Enhancing Operational Efficiency, Minimizing Downtime, And Optimizing Resource Utilization." doi:10.2139/ssrn.5057406.
156. Pech, M., Vrchota, J. and Bednář, J. (2021) "Predictive Maintenance and Intelligent Sensors in Smart Factory: Review," *Sensors*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 1470. doi:10.3390/s21041470.
157. Peng, Y. *et al.* (2023) "Impact of Digitalization on Process Optimization and Decision-Making towards Sustainability: The Moderating Role of Environmental Regulation," *Sustainability*, 15(20), p. 15156. doi:10.3390/su152015156.
158. Pimsakul, S., Samaranayake, P. and Laosirihongthong, T. (2021) "Prioritizing Enabling Factors of IoT Adoption for Sustainability in Supply Chain Management," *Sustainability*, 13(22), p. 12890. doi:10.3390/su132212890.
159. Plathottam, S.J. *et al.* (2023) "A review of artificial intelligence applications in manufacturing operations," *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing and Processing*. Wiley. doi:10.1002/amp2.10159.
160. Polo, S. *et al.* (2025) "Emerging Advances in Sustainable Manufacturing," *Processes*, 13(5), p. 1549. doi:10.3390/pr13051549.
161. Powell, D., Romero, D. and Gaiardelli, P. (2022) "New and Renewed Manufacturing Paradigms for Sustainable Production," *Sustainability*, 14(3), p. 1279. doi:10.3390/su14031279.
162. Ramingwong, S. *et al.* (2024) "Factory Logistics Improvement: A Case Study Analysis of Companies in Northern Thailand, 2022–2024," *Logistics*, 8(3), p. 88. doi:10.3390/logistics8030088.

163. Alzaydi, Ammar A. Laser Drilling Trajectory Generation and Way-Point Sequencing: Time-Optimal Trajectory Generation and Way-Point Sequencing for 5-Axis On-the-Fly/Per percussion Laser Drilling. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, 2016. ISBN 978-3-330-00061-9.
164. Ranasinghe, N., Domingo, N. and Kahandawa, R. (2025) "Advancing Circularity: A Review of Technologies for Material Data Traceability in the Built Environment." doi:10.7771/3067-4883.1775.
165. Raptis, T.P., Passarella, A. and Conti, M. (2019) "Data Management in Industry 4.0: State of the Art and Open Challenges," *arXiv* [Preprint]. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.1902.06141.
166. Rasheed, M.Q. *et al.* (2024) "Assessing green energy production and industrial excellence in Asian emerging economies in the context of industrial transformation and sustainable development," *Environment Development and Sustainability* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s10668-024-05099-y.
167. Riad, M., Naïmi, M. and Okar, C. (2024) "Enhancing Supply Chain Resilience Through Artificial Intelligence: Developing a Comprehensive Conceptual Framework for AI Implementation and Supply Chain Optimization," *Logistics*, 8(4), p. 111. doi:10.3390/logistics8040111.
168. Riahi, Y. *et al.* (2021) "Artificial intelligence applications in supply chain: A descriptive bibliometric analysis and future research directions," *Expert Systems with Applications*, 173, p. 114702. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114702.
169. Ribeiro, I. *et al.* (2020) "Framework for Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment of Additive Manufacturing," *Sustainability*, 12(3), p. 929. doi:10.3390/su12030929.
170. Roberts, H. *et al.* (2022) "Artificial intelligence in support of the circular economy: ethical considerations and a path forward," *AI & Society*, 39(3), p. 1451. doi:10.1007/s00146-022-01596-8.
171. Rojas, L., Peña, Á. and García, J. (2025) "AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance in Mining: A Systematic Literature Review on Fault Detection, Digital Twins, and Intelligent Asset Management," *Applied Sciences*, 15(6), p. 3337. doi:10.3390/app15063337.
172. Rojek, I. *et al.* (2023) "An Artificial Intelligence Approach for Improving Maintenance to Supervise Machine Failures and Support Their Repair," *Applied Sciences*, 13(8), p. 4971. doi:10.3390/app13084971.
173. Rousopoulou, V. *et al.* (2020) "Predictive Maintenance for Injection Molding Machines Enabled by Cognitive Analytics for Industry 4.0," *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 3. doi:10.3389/frai.2020.578152.
174. Samatas, G.G., Moumgiakmas, S.S. and Papakostas, G.A. (2021a) "Predictive Maintenance - Bridging Artificial Intelligence and IoT," in *2022 IEEE World AI IoT Congress (AIIoT)*. doi:10.1109/aiiot52608.2021.9454173.
175. Samatas, G.G., Moumgiakmas, S.S. and Papakostas, G.A. (2021b) "Predictive Maintenance - Bridging Artificial Intelligence and IoT," *arXiv (Cornell University)* [Preprint]. doi:10.48550/arxiv.2103.11148.
176. Sami, A. *et al.* (2023) "Characterizing Circular Supply Chain Practices in Industry 5.0 With Respect to Sustainable Manufacturing Operations," *Journal of Management and Research*, 10(1). doi:10.29145/jmr.101.04.
177. Ammar Alzaydi, Balancing creativity and longevity: The ambiguous role of obsolescence in product design, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Volume 445, 2024, 141239, ISSN 0959-6526, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141239>.
178. Setyadi, A. *et al.* (2025) "Trends and Opportunities in Sustainable Manufacturing: A Systematic Review of Key Dimensions from 2019 to 2024," *Sustainability*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 789. doi:10.3390/su17020789.
179. Shekarian, E. *et al.* (2022) "Sustainable Supply Chain Management: A Comprehensive Systematic Review of Industrial Practices," *Sustainability*, 14(13), p. 7892. doi:10.3390/su14137892.
180. Shiboldenkov, V.A. and Nesterova, K. (2020) "The smart technologies application for the product life-cycle management in modern manufacturing systems," *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 311, p. 2020. doi:10.1051/mateconf/202031102020.

181. Sinaga, O., HI, A.R. and Pawirosumarto, S. (2025) "Environmental Policy Implementation and Communication in the Association of Southeast Asian Nations Manufacturing: A Comparative Case Study of Three Key Manufacturing Firms in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand (2020–2023)," *Sustainability*, 17(8), p. 3486. doi:10.3390/su17083486.
182. Sixt, G.N., Klerkx, L. and Griffin, T.S. (2017) "Transitions in water harvesting practices in Jordan's rainfed agricultural systems: Systemic problems and blocking mechanisms in an emerging technological innovation system," *Environmental Science & Policy*, 84, p. 235. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2017.08.010.
183. Skhairi, W. and Abouzaid, B. (2024) "Fostering Sustainability in the Supply Chain: A Systematic Review Using the PRISMA Method to Examine Progress in Green Logistics." doi:10.5281/zenodo.13328646.
184. Söderholm, P. (2020) "The green economy transition: the challenges of technological change for sustainability," *Sustainable Earth Reviews*, 3(1). doi:10.1186/s42055-020-00029-y.
185. Sohail, A. and Amin Ul Haq, M. (2022) "Why Industry 4.0 Adoption is Unavoidable for Sustainable Performance of Organizations?," *Pakistan Business Review*, 23(3). doi:10.22555/pbr.v23i3.612.
186. Sousa, J. et al. (2022) "Zero-defect manufacturing terminology standardization: Definition, improvement, and harmonization," *Frontiers in Manufacturing Technology*, 2. doi:10.3389/fmtec.2022.947474.
187. Stroumpoulis, A., Kopanaki, E. and Chountalas, P. (2024) "Enhancing Sustainable Supply Chain Management through Digital Transformation: A Comparative Case Study Analysis," *Sustainability*, 16(16), p. 6778. doi:10.3390/su16166778.
188. Alzaydi, A. (2023). Development of a Smart Fuzzy-PID Active Control System Without the Need for Direct Muscle or Brain Command Signals. *Journal of Engineering Technology*, 40(2), 44-64.
189. Sui, X. et al. (2023) "Digital transformation and manufacturing company competitiveness," *Finance research letters*, 59, p. 104683. doi:10.1016/j.frl.2023.104683.
190. Sundaram, S. and Zeid, A. (2023) "Artificial Intelligence-Based Smart Quality Inspection for Manufacturing," *Micromachines*, 14(3), p. 570. doi:10.3390/mi14030570.
191. Szeszák, B.M. et al. (2025) "Industrial Revolutions and Automation: Tracing Economic and Social Transformations of Manufacturing," *Societies*, 15(4), p. 88. doi:10.3390/soc15040088.
192. Tamasiga, P. et al. (2023) "Green industrial policy as an enabler of the transition to sustainability: challenges, opportunities and policy implications for developing countries," *Environment Development and Sustainability*, 27(1), p. 355. doi:10.1007/s10668-023-03952-0.
193. Tariq, H. (2025) "Biodegradable Soft Robotics for Minimally Invasive Medical Devices: A Comprehensive Review," *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s13369-025-10927-y.
194. Teixeira, A.R., Ferreira, J.V. and Ramos, A.L. (2025) "Intelligent Supply Chain Management: A Systematic Literature Review on Artificial Intelligence Contributions," *Information*, 16(5), p. 399. doi:10.3390/info16050399.
195. Theissler, A. et al. (2021) "Predictive maintenance enabled by machine learning: Use cases and challenges in the automotive industry," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 215, p. 107864. doi:10.1016/j.ress.2021.107864.
196. Tolio, T. et al. (2017) "Design, management and control of demanufacturing and remanufacturing systems," *CIRP Annals*, 66(2), p. 585. doi:10.1016/j.cirp.2017.05.001.
197. Tripathi, S. et al. (2024) "Assessing the current landscape of AI and sustainability literature: identifying key trends, addressing gaps and challenges," *Journal Of Big Data*, 11(1). doi:10.1186/s40537-024-00912-x.
198. Tsai, S., Liu, W. and Yuan, Y. (2023) "Guest editorial: New challenges and opportunities for green industry development," *Kybernetes*, 52(2), p. 493. doi:10.1108/k-02-2023-999.
199. Turnbull, A. and Carroll, J. (2021) "Cost Benefit of Implementing Advanced Monitoring and Predictive Maintenance Strategies for Offshore Wind Farms," *Energies*, 14(16), p. 4922. doi:10.3390/en14164922.

200. Ammar A. Alzaydi, John T.W. Yeow, Sangjune L. Lee, Hydraulic controlled polyester-based micro adaptive mirror with adjustable focal length, *Mechatronics*, Volume 18, Issue 2, 2008, Pages 61-70, ISSN 0957-4158, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechatronics.2007.10.002>.
201. Uddin, M.S., Hossain, M.S. and Das, S.G. (2022) "Advancing manufacturing sustainability with industry 4.0 technologies," *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 6(1), p. 358. doi:10.30574/ijrsra.2022.6.1.0099.
202. Upadhyay, A. et al. (2021) "Blockchain technology and the circular economy: Implications for sustainability and social responsibility," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 293, p. 126130. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126130.
203. Varma, A. et al. (2024) "Blockchain technology for sustainable supply chains: A comprehensive review and future prospects," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. GSC Online Press, p. 980. doi:10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.3.0804.
204. Varriale, V. et al. (2020) "The Unknown Potential of Blockchain for Sustainable Supply Chains," *Sustainability*, 12(22), p. 9400. doi:10.3390/su12229400.
205. Veile, J.W. et al. (2021) "Green and Lean? – Understanding ecological and environmental implications in the light of Industry 4.0," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 1196(1), p. 12005. doi:10.1088/1757-899x/1196/1/012005.
206. Alzaydi, Ammar. Geothermally Cooled Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure. U.S. Patent No. 12,441,203 B1, 14 Oct. 2025.
207. Villar, A., Paladini, S. and Buckley, O. (2023) "Towards Supply Chain 5.0: Redesigning Supply Chains as Resilient, Sustainable, and Human-Centric Systems in a Post-pandemic World," *Operations Research Forum*, 4(3). doi:10.1007/s43069-023-00234-3.
208. Vithi, N.L. and Chibaya, C. (2024) "Advancements in Predictive Maintenance: A Bibliometric Review of Diagnostic Models Using Machine Learning Techniques," *Analytics*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 493. doi:10.3390/analytics3040028.
209. Wahid, A., Breslin, J.G. and Ali, M.I. (2022) "Prediction of Machine Failure in Industry 4.0: A Hybrid CNN-LSTM Framework," *Applied Sciences*, 12(9), p. 4221. doi:10.3390/app12094221.
210. Wang, D. and Yang, T.Y. (2025) "Research on the Promotion Effect of the Marketization of Data Elements on the Digital Transformation of Manufacturing Enterprises: An Empirical Evaluation of a Multiperiod DID Model," *Sustainability*, 17(7), p. 3199. doi:10.3390/su17073199.
211. Wang, S. et al. (2024) "An Intelligent Quality Control Method for Manufacturing Processes Based on a Human–Cyber–Physical Knowledge Graph," *Engineering*, 41, p. 242. doi:10.1016/j.eng.2024.03.022.
212. Wang, S. and Jiao, J. (2024) "Smart In-Process Inspection in Human–Cyber–Physical Manufacturing Systems: A Research Proposal on Human–Automation Symbiosis and Its Prospects," *Machines*, 12(12), p. 873. doi:10.3390/machines12120873.
213. Windmann, A. et al. (2024) "Artificial Intelligence in Industry 4.0: A Review of Integration Challenges for Industrial Systems," *arXiv [Preprint]*. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2405.18580.
214. Xu, J. et al. (2022) "A Review on AI for Smart Manufacturing: Deep Learning Challenges and Solutions," *Applied Sciences*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 8239. doi:10.3390/app12168239.
215. Yadav, R. et al. (2023) "Key Enabler on Efficient Resource Utilization: Technical and Managerial Investigations for Sustainable Materials and Energy Management," *E3S Web of Conferences*, 453, p. 1023. doi:10.1051/e3sconf/202345301023.
216. Alzaydi, Ammar, et al. "Robotic Manipulator Task Sequencing and Minimum Snap Trajectory Generation." *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, vol. 45, 2020, pp. 6865–6886. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-020-04474-x>
217. Yekeen, A.O., Ewim, C.P.-M. and Sam-Bulya, N.J. (2024) "Enhancing supply chain security and transparency with ai and blockchain integration for future-proof solutions," *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, 4(1), p. 43. doi:10.56355/ijfrst.2024.4.1.0052.

218. Yerram, S.R. (2021) "Driving the Shift to Sustainable Industry 5.0 with Green Manufacturing Innovations," *Asia Pacific Journal of Energy and Environment*, 8(2), p. 55. doi:10.18034/apjee.v8i2.733.

219. Yousif, I. et al. (2024) "Leveraging computer vision towards high-efficiency autonomous industrial facilities," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* [Preprint]. doi:10.1007/s10845-024-02396-1.

220. Yu, Z., Umar, M. and Rehman, S.A. (2022) "Adoption of technological innovation and recycling practices in automobile sector: under the Covid-19 pandemic," *Operations Management Research*, 15, p. 298. doi:10.1007/s12063-022-00263-x.

221. Zehri, C. (2025) "Renewable energy and industrial innovation: Catalysts for economic and trade growth," *Russian Journal of Economics*, 11(1), p. 93. doi:10.32609/j.ruje.11.142815.

222. Zenkert, J. et al. (2021) "Knowledge Integration in Smart Factories," *Encyclopedia*, 1(3), p. 792. doi:10.3390/encyclopedia1030061.

223. Zhang, P., Bian, S. and Ju, S. (2025) "Manufacturing Industrial Chain and Supply Chain Resilience in the Yangtze River Economic Belt: Evaluation and Enhancement Under Digitalization and Greening," *Sustainability*, 17(9), p. 3768. doi:10.3390/su17093768.

224. Zheng, H., Paiva, A.R.C. and Gurciullo, C.S. (2020) "Advancing from Predictive Maintenance to Intelligent Maintenance with AI and IIoT," *arXiv (Cornell University)* [Preprint]. doi:10.48550/arxiv.2009.00351.

225. Zhou, Y. (2024) "Blockchain Technology Improves the Resilience of Manufacturing Supply Chain An Exploration Based on Grounded Theory," *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, 128(1), p. 91. doi:10.54254/2754-1169/2024.18266.

226. Джусупова, P., Bosch, J. and Olsson, H.H. (2023) "Choosing the right path for AI integration in engineering companies: A strategic guide," *Journal of Systems and Software*, 210, p. 111945. doi:10.1016/j.jss.2023.111945.

Disclaimer / Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Journals and/or the editor(s). Journals and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.