Applied Science and Biotechnology Journal for Advanced Research e-ISSN: 2583-553X
Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume-2, Issue-2 (March 2023), Page: 24-28 https://doi.org/10.31033/abjar.2.2.5

An Anatomical Model of Mothering Behavior in Mus Musculus

Animesh Mishra[1] and Chandrabhan Shukla[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Zoology, Rajeev Gandhi College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA
[2]B.Sc Student, Department of Zoology, Jiwaji University, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA

[1]Corresponding Author: animesh.mishra87@gmail.com

Received: 08-02-2023 Revised: 26-02-2023 Accepted: 27-03-2023

ABSTRACT
The scientific study of animal behaviour is called ethology, and it often focuses on behaviour in its natural environment and sees behaviour as an adaptive quality that has evolved over time. The term "behaviourism" also refers to the scientific and objective study of animal behaviour, typically focusing on trained behavioural responses in a lab setting or measurable responses to stimuli without a focus on evolutionary adaptability. Numerous naturalists have investigated various facets of animal behaviour throughout history. Charles Darwin (1809–1882) and American and German ornithologists from the late 19th and early 20th centuries, such as Wallace Craig, Charles O. Whitman, and Oskar Heinroth (1871–1955), laid the foundations for ethology. The work of three biologists who shared the 1973 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine—Dutch biologist Nikolaas Tinbergen (1907–1988), Austrian biologists Konrad Lorenz and Karl von Frisch (1886–1982)—is generally regarded as having launched the current field of ethology in the 1930s.

Keywords: animals, improvement, human interaction, social behavior, mus musculas

I. INTRODUCTION

In contrast to ethology, which is considered a branch of biology, comparative psychology is thought of as a subfield of psychology. Ethology entails studying animal behaviour in light of what is known about animal anatomy, physiology, neurobiology, and phylogenetic history, as opposed to comparative psychology, which historically studied animal behaviour in light of what is known about human psychology. Early ethologists focused on behaviour in natural settings and tended to refer to it as instinctive, whereas early comparative psychologists focused on the study of learning and preferred to explore behaviour in artificial conditions.

1.1 Courtship Conduct

The two strategies provide different perspectives and occasionally cause disagreements over important issues, but they are complementary rather than competitive. Additionally, for the majority of the 20th century, comparative psychology flourished in North America, while ethology did better in Europe. Practically speaking, early comparative psychologists focused on learning in-depth information on the behaviour of a select few species. To enable meaningful comparisons across taxonomic groups, ethologists were more interested in understanding behaviour across a wide range of species. Comparative psychologists have not used such cross-species comparisons as frequently as ethologists have.

II. ARRAY PATTERN FIXED

The finding of set action patterns was a significant development that is sometimes linked with the name of Konrad Lorenz, albeit it was likely more the work of his teacher, Oskar Heinroth. [9,10] These were made popular by Lorenz as automatic reactions that consistently took place in the presence of recognisable stimuli known as sign stimuli or "releasing stimuli". Fixed action patterns are currently thought to be innate behaviour sequences that nearly invariably lead to conclusions and are largely unchanging within the species. One illustration of a releaser is the beak motions made by freshly hatched chicks of several bird species which prompt the mother to regurgitate food for her young. Other examples include Tinbergen's seminal research on egg-retrieval behaviour and the influence of a "supernormal stimulus" on graylag geese behaviour. One such discovery was Karl von Frisch's examination of the waggle dance (also known as "dance language") in bee communication.

III. DISCUSSION

The simple learning process of habituation is seen in many animal species. An animal stops responding to a stimulus when this happens. The reaction is frequently an instinctive behaviour. In essence, the animal learns to ignore unimportant inputs. For instance, as predators approach, prairie dogs (Cynomys ludovicianus) make alarm sounds, causing the entire colony to immediately scramble down burrows. Giving alarm calls each time a person comes by in a prairie dog village that is close to a trail used by people is time- and energy-consuming. Thus, becoming accustomed to humans is a crucial adaptation in this situation.

3.1 Community Learning

Any learning process in which a new response is connected with a specific stimulus is known as associative learning in the context of animal behaviour. Ivan Pavlov, a Russian scientist, conducted the first associative learning studies after noticing that dogs that had been taught to associate food with a bell's ringing would salivate when they heard the bell.

IV. IMPRINTING

Imprinting gives the young the ability to recognise other members of their own species, which is essential for successful reproduction. Only a very small amount of this crucial kind of learning occurs over the course of a lifetime. Lorenz noticed that young birds, such as geese and chickens, naturally followed their mothers from almost the first day after hatching, and he found that if the eggs were artificially incubated and the stimulus was presented during a critical period that lasted for a few days after hatching, this response could be mimicked.

Animals can mimic another animal's behaviour perfectly through the sophisticated activity known as imitation. According to the National Institutes of Health, capuchin monkeys preferred to hang out with researchers who imitated them to those who did not. The monkeys preferred to execute a basic job with their imitators over doing the identical task with a non-imitator, in addition to spending more time with their imitators. Recent studies on chimpanzees have shown that these animals imitate others' actions, and when given the choice, they prefer to replicate the actions of the elder, higher-ranking chimpanzee rather than the younger, lower-ranking one.

V. STRUCTURE AND LOCAL IMPROVEMENT

Animals can learn in a variety of ways through observational learning, but not by imitation. One of these is stimulus augmentation, where people start to show interest in a certain object after seeing other people use it. An object's manipulation due to increased attention can lead to novel object-related behaviours through trial-and-error learning. In an experiment designed by Haggerty in 1909, a monkey scaled the side of a cage, inserted its arm into a wooden chute, and pulled a rope to release food. After observing a monkey go through this process four times, another monkey was given the chance to get the food. After trying several different techniques, the monkey eventually found one that worked. The capacity of some cat and dog owners' pets to open doors serves as another illustration. Animals become interested in the handle when people use it to open the door, and through trial-and-error, they eventually learn how to use it to open the door.

5.1 Animal Human Interaction

In local enhancement, a demonstrator draws the viewer's attention to a specific area. Foraging information has been seen to be transmitted locally among pigs, rodents, and birds. Trigona corvina, a stingless bee, employs local enhancement to find other colony members and food supplies.

VI. SOCIAL ACTIVITY

The social transmission of a behaviour was well documented in a colony of macaques on Japan's Hachijojima Island. The macaques had previously lived in the inland forest, but in the 1960s, a group of researchers began feeding them potatoes on the sand. Soon after, the macaques ventured onto the sand to pick the potatoes out of the sand, clean them, and eat them. A person was seen bringing a potato to the sea, dropping it into the water with one hand, then cleaning it with the other around a year later. As a type of social transmission, this behaviour was quickly expressed by those who lived nearby and, when they had children, by their offspring as well.

6.1 Teaching

The "teacher" (the demonstrator) modifies their action to make it more likely that the "pupil" (the observer) will achieve the desired end result of the behaviour. Teaching is a highly specialised element of learning. As an illustration, killer whales have been observed deliberately beaching themselves to capture pinniped prey. By shoving their calves onto the sand and urging them to attack the prey, mother killer whales instruct their calves how to catch pinnipeds. This is evidence of teaching because the mother killer whale is changing how she behaves to assist her young in learning to catch prey. Education is not just for mammals. For instance, it has been noticed that many insects use a variety of instructional techniques to find food. Tandem running is a method used by ants to direct one another to food sources, in which one ant leads another ant to a source of food. It has been hypothesised that the student ant can learn this path to find food in the future or instruct other ants to take it. Crows, notably New Caledonian crows, are another animal that exemplifies this teaching tendency. The adults (individually or collectively) instruct their young adolescents in the construction and use of tools. For instance, Pandanus branches are employed to remove bugs and other larvae from tree holes.

6.2 Behavior of Mothers in Animals

Mating and the Colonial War The most crucial stage in the spread of individuals or genes within a species is individual reproduction; as a result, sophisticated mating rituals occur, some of which can be extremely complicated even though they are frequently thought of as set behaviour patterns. Tinbergen's investigation of the intricate stickleback mating ritual is recognised as a noteworthy example.

Animals frequently compete with one another for social dominance and the chance to procreate in social settings. The battle for social and sexual dominance among animals is frequently exemplified by the so-called pecking order among chickens. When a flock of chickens lives together for a particular amount of time, they create a pecking order. One chicken in these groups rules the others and is immune to being pecked. All the chickens save the first can be pecked by a second chicken, and so on. [9] Higher-level chickens in the pecking order can occasionally be identified by their healthier appearance in comparison to chickens at lower levels. Frequent and severe battles may occur as the pecking order develops, but once it is in place, the pecking order is only disturbed when new members join the group, at which point it must be formed from scratch.

6.3 Reside in Group

Humans are one of the many animal species that like to live in groups. A key component of their social milieu is group size. Social interaction is likely a sophisticated and successful survival tactic. [5] One could think of it as a kind of symbiosis between members of the same species: a society is made up of a number of members of the same species who live together under clearly established rules for food management, role distribution, and mutual reliance.

When biologists interested in evolution theory first began examining social behaviour, some seemingly unanswerable questions arose, such as how the emergence of sterile castes, such as in bees, could be explained by an evolving mechanism that emphasises the reproductive success of as many individuals as possible or why, in small-group animals like squirrels, a single individual would risk its own life to save the rest of the group. These actions could be viewed as examples of selflessness. Of course, not all actions are charitable, as the table below shows. For instance, it was often asserted that only Homo sapiens exhibited vindictive behaviour. However, there have also been anecdotal reports of vengeful camels and other species, such as chimpanzees.

VII. OBSERVATIONS ALTRUISM

Most obviously, altruistic behaviour can be seen in kinship connections, such as in parenting, but it can also be shown in larger social groupings, like social insects. By assisting family members who share those genes, they enable an individual to improve the success of its genes.

Anatomy of Animals

Animals can communicate with one another through the conveyance of information that influences the recipients' present-day or future behaviour. Whether it is done on purpose, as in a courting display, or accidentally, as in the transfer of scent, information can be communicated.

Classification off Social Behaviours

Type of behaviour	Effect on the donor	Effect on the receiver
Egoistic	Neutral to increases fitness	Decreases fitness
Cooperative	Neutral to Increases fitness	Neutral to Increases fitness
Altruistic	Decreases fitness	Neutral to Increases fitness
Revengeful	Decreases fitness	Decreases fitness

VIII. CONCLUSION

\

The reduction of predatory behaviour is one benefit of communal living. Each prey may experience a decreased danger of predator attacks through the dilution effect if the number of predator assaults remains constant despite the size of the prey group growing. The selfish herd theory also contends that group living has different health advantages based on an individual's position within the group. According to the hypothesis, conspecifics at a group's centre will be less likely to be preyed upon, while those on its peripherals will be more exposed. A predator may also have a harder time identifying a target if

they are perplexed by a large group of prey. Due to this, the zebra's stripes have the benefit of blending into a herd of other zebras as well as providing camouflage in a habitat of tall grasses. Through more effective defence strategies or earlier detection of predators through increased vigilance, prey can actively lower their risk of predation when acting in groups.

A greater capacity for food foraging may be another benefit of communal life. Group members sharing information about food sources facilitates the process of locating resources.Honeybees serve as an example of this because they use the waggle dance to signal the rest of their hive where flowers are.Additionally, predators gain advantages from group hunting by employing more effective tactics and being able to take down larger prey.

There are certain drawbacks to communal living. Living close to other animals can make it easier for parasites and diseases to spread, and large groups may also lead to more competition for food and mates.

REFERENCES

1. Lee, C. T. (1972). Development of nest-building behavior in inbred mice. *Journal of General Psychology, 87*(1), 13-21.
2. http://office.microsoft.com/en-us/sharepointdesigner/FX100487631033.php.
3. http://www.microsoft.com/downloads/details.php?FamilyID=d6ba5972-328e-4df7-8f9d 068fc0f80cfc&displaylang=en.
4. Crusio, W. E. (2001). Genetic dissection of mouse exploratory behaviour. *Behavioural Brain Research, 125(*1-2), 127-132.
5. Martin, P. R., & Bateson, P. P. G. (2007). *Measuring behaviour: An introductory guide*. (3rd ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.
6. http://www.virtualdub.org/.
7. Weber, E.M., & Olsson, A.S. (2008). Maternal behaviour in mus musculus: An ethological review. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 114*(1-2), 1-22.
8. Gaskill, B. N., Rohr, S. A., Pajor, E. A., Lucas, J. R., & Garner, J. P. (2009). Some like it hot: Mouse temperature preferences in laboratory housing. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 116*(2-4), 279-285.
9. Bechard, A. & Mason, G. (2010). Leaving home: A study of laboratory mouse pup indepedence. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 125*, 181-188.
10. http://www.adobe.com/products/photoshop/pho toshop/.